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Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570)(SQ4)(DATE 8/5/93

From: SSA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO:KC

Re telcal between SSA of 8/4/93.

SSA advised that KCD airtel of 6/24/93 regarding captioned matter was very well done. However, there was found to be by the Civil Rights Section of the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (CRS/DOJ) that the assessment and analysis phase of the KCD-FBI review of the KCKPD Internal Affairs files numerous instances of 'Garrity' material.

In brief, Garrity material in a civil rights investigation is the review of statements of police officers compelled to give a statement which under guarantee by the officer's agency if given will not be used in a criminal trial. The following personnel of the U.S. Attorney's Office and KCD-FBI have reviewed these files and are "tainted." Thus, they are precluded from testifying or being involved in any further civil rights investigations on the attached list of KCKPD officers.

SSA suggested that captioned file be placed into a sealed envelope in the file jacket on the rotor with a copy of this memo and the words "Garrity Material" written on the envelope.

SSA stated that if the DOJ requests further civil rights investigations relating to captioned subject or any of the officers attached, that the AUSAs and FBI personnel be eliminated from investigative consideration.

2 - 44A-KC-72027
1 - 44A-KC-71570
1 - Each listed agent w/attachment
1 - SAC w/attachment
1 - ASAC w/attachment
1 - ASAC w/attachment

WMC: dag (18)
### AUSA and KCD agent personnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUSA</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>(Retired)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KCKPD Officers

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Interviewing Employees About Criminal Conduct

By George E. Wattendorf, Esq., Legal Advisor, Dover Police Department, New Hampshire

Police employees who commit criminal acts could face both internal discipline and external criminal prosecution. Police administrators investigating misconduct that could be charged as a crime must make a choice prior to interviewing the employee.

One choice is to compel the employee to give a statement by guaranteeing the statement will not be used in a criminal trial. The other choice is to give the employee a Miranda warning, which could result in no statement and could hinder internal discipline. This article explores the pros and cons of both options.

Compelling an Employee to Give a Statement

In Garrity v. New Jersey, the court established that law enforcement employers can require employees to answer questions pertaining to job-related conduct or face discipline for refusing. However, employees must be told that any statement made cannot be used against them in any subsequent criminal proceeding.

Thus, while it may appear to be advantageous to compel a police employee to answer questions so that immediate disciplinary action can be taken, the employee’s statement cannot be used in a criminal case. If there is no strong independent evidence, a criminal trial might be precluded, which could result in a public outcry.

Furthermore, recent federal case law suggests that once a statement or testimony has been granted immunity, any witnesses who have derived information from that statement/testimony may be tainted, and thus precluded from testifying at the criminal proceeding.

In United States v. Oliver North, Congress granted immunity to North’s testimony in order to conduct an inquiry into the Iran-contra incident. North was subsequently indicted on criminal charges. Witnesses who testified at the trial had watched televised hearings. North challenged the admission of the testimony because witnesses were basing their testimony on North’s statements to Congress, which had been given immunity. The court ruled that witnesses who were exposed to North’s immunized testimony before Congress were considered “tainted” when they testified against him, and the prosecution was required to demonstrate that they were not.

Similarly, the situation of a police employee who is forced to answer questions in an internal investigation where the answer is given immunity (Garrity) is comparable to the North case. Investigators testifying in a subsequent criminal case—even if they avoid any direct references to the compelled answers—could be challenged as being “tainted” by the employee’s immunized answers in the internal affairs investigation.

Mirandizing Prior to the Interview

Employers could avoid any problems with a future criminal case by treating a police employee suspected of criminal conduct as they would any civilian under similar suspicion. As long as a valid Miranda waiver is obtained, any statements given to investigators can be used in both criminal and administrative hearings.

The obvious drawback here is that a police department may have a case where an employee invokes Miranda protection. An employee cannot be disciplined for invoking a constitutional protection. Therefore, a police department may not be able to impose immediate discipline unless a case can be supported by independent evidence.

The advantage to giving Miranda is that if a statement is obtained, there is a much greater chance of securing a criminal conviction. Naturally, the statement could also be used as grounds for internal discipline.

Conclusion

A suggested approach to the dilemma of whether to compel a statement and grant immunity, or to give Miranda warnings is to conduct two separate proceedings.

A two-fold approach to the problem would consist of an internal investigator and a criminal investigator conducting investigations independent of one another. A criminal investigator would give Miranda warnings and, if a statement was obtained, the investigation would conclude. If, however, the employee invoked his Miranda rights, a separate internal affairs investigation could then compel the employee to answer questions by giving Garrity immunity. Immediate disciplinary steps could then be initiated. However, any statements given Garrity immunity would have to be kept confidential and separate from the criminal investigator to avoid the challenge of being tainted by the immunized statements. Criminal proceedings could then be pursued without a confession.

It is strongly recommended that the department’s legal advisor or prosecutor be consulted about which procedure should be followed in your jurisdiction.

SUBJECT: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD); CIVIL RIGHTS; DD: KANSAS CITY.

RE: A MEMORANDUM TO SAC KANSAS CITY FROM SSA

DATED JANUARY 4, 1993, AND SUBSEQUENT TELCALLS BETWEEN SSA

AND SSA CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT (CRU).

BASED ON A REVIEW OF REFERENCED MEMORANDUM AS REQUESTED BY KANSAS CITY ASAC CRU REQUESTS THAT KANSAS CITY ADDRESS THE POLICE MISCONDUCT ALLEGATIONS AGAINST KCKPD OFFICERS AS FOLLOWS:

1) BASED ON THE INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM THE DISTRICT OF

OCT 4
2:30 93
wmc

MAR 30 1993
FBI - KANSAS CITY

wmc
PAGE TWO DE RUCNFB 0057 UNCLAS

KANSAS U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE AND FEDERAL GRAND JURY (FGJ)


2) ACTIVE INVESTIGATION WILL NOT BE INITIATED UNTIL DOJ APPROVES THE OVERALL PLAN AS DESCRIBED BELOW. THERE WILL BE AN EXCEPTION TO THIS RULE FOR INCIDENTS INVOLVING DEATHS. ANY AND ALL INCIDENTS WHICH RESULTED IN DEATH SHOULD BE IMMEDIATELY AND SEPARATELY OPENED, BUT STILL DESCRIBED IN THE OVERALL PLAN.

3) THE PRIORITIZATION LIST AND JUSTIFICATION SHOULD BE FOLLOWED BY A TIME SCHEDULE PROJECTING WHEN EACH CASE WILL BE INITIATED AND THE LENGTH OF TIME NEEDED TO COMPLETE EACH CASE (THE 21 DAY RULE WILL NOT APPLY SINCE CASES WILL INVOLVE MULTIPLE INCIDENTS.)
4) THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE MUST CONCUR WITH THE
PRIORITIZATION AND INVESTIGATIVE SCHEDULE. THEIR OPINION SHOULD
BE INCLUDED IN THE SUBMISSION TO CRU.

5) CRU WILL REVIEW AND FORWARD THE PRIORITIZATION
SUBMISSION FROM KANSAS CITY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ),
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION (CRD), FOR ITS APPROVAL.

6) ONCE THE OVERALL PLAN IS APPROVED, KANSAS CITY WILL OPEN
AND CONDUCT SEPARATE INVESTIGATIONS FOR EACH OFFICER IN
ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERALL PLAN. AT A MINIMUM, A PRELIMINARY
INVESTIGATION OF EACH ALLEGED INCIDENT INVOLVING THE SUBJECT
OFFICER WILL BE CONDUCTED.

ON MARCH 27, 1993, SSA [REDACTED] DISCUSSED THIS PLAN WITH DOJ,
CRD, DEPUTY [REDACTED] WHO CONCURRED WITH THE PLAN AS
STATED, SUBJECT TO THEIR REVIEW OF THE PRIORITIZATION AND
ENSURING THAT ALL DEATH CASES ARE IMMEDIATELY OPENED AND ASSIGNED
AS SEPARATE INVESTIGATIONS.

IT IS NOTED THAT DUE TO THE NUMBER OF COMPLAINANTS AT KCKPD
AND TO THE KANSAS CITY DIVISION'S CURRENT MAJOR CASE, CIVIL
RIGHTS INVESTIGATION ENTITLED 'COMOVA: MAJOR CASE #76', HUMAN
RESOURCES IN THE DIVISION ARE NOT IMMEDIATELY AVAILABLE TO
PAGE FOUR DE RUCNFB 0057 UNCLAS

ROUTINELY HANDLE THE NEW 44'S INVOLVING KCKPD. THEREFORE, A
STAGGERED APPROACH TO THE KCKPD 44 CASELOAD IS BEING CONSIDERED
IN AN EFFORT TO EASE ANY FURTHER TASKING OF KC'S HUMAN RESOURCES.

KANSAS CITY SHOULD SUBMIT THEIR PRIORITIZATION AIRTEL TO CRU
AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

BT

#0057

NNNN
For Your Action  For Review  Please Return
For Your Signature  Set Tickler Please  Please See Me
For Your Initial  Initial & Return  For Info Only

Subject/Reference:

Comments:

1. Coordinate with SA with request to SA or SSA to submit a draft cable responding to this T/F.
   - Keep 1 cc of this routing slip attached to the original T/F.
   - Set a Tickler for 4/16/93.

2. Of A appropriately, pull referenced memos re: KCRF written by me from the 41-0 file and place in the new 41.

3. SA and/or SSA coordinate with SA and/or SSA and respond to the questions, etc., in a cable suitable for dissemination.
   - You need more time to do this.

From: SSA Ext. 221
respond, pls see me.
If you run into any problems, pls advise. We sent 1 copy of this as noted above to SSA & have SA coordinate w/ you ASAP.

THX,
WMC
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44-0)  
From: SSA SQUAD 4  
Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD), PUBLIC CORRUPTION/CIVIL RIGHTS

PURPOSE:

To provide results of the 12/18/92 meeting between the U.S. Attorney's (USA) Office and FBI relative to Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) Senior Litigation Counsel memorandum dated 12/11/92 (attached). The intent of this memo is

ATTENDEES:

AUSA
AUSA
SSA
SSA
SA

SUMMARY:

1 - 44-0
1 - SAC
1 - ASAC
1 - ASAC
1 - SSA
1 - SSA
WMC/skd (6)


1/4A-KC-7)570-2
44-A-12832
SEARCHED SERIALIZED INDEXER FILED
JAN 05 1993
FBI-KANSAS CITY
Currently, the AUSA renews a standard subpoena to obtain [redacted] since the last subpoena.

The USA's Office study (attached) indicates the following:
The FBI's investigation of CR matters supports the USA's findings and pursuit of prosecution in this area was initiated under the public corruption statutes with little or no results.

Historically, the KCKPD has been labeled "corrupt" from the Police Chief, who was acquitted from a public corruption charge, down through a certain few ranking officers within the chain of command.

Further attached for your review is a letter from the USA LEE THOMPSON summarizing this meeting.

SUGGESTIONS/LEADS:
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (194D-328)(SQ. 2)(P) Date 12/23/92

From: [Name]

Subject: STREET SMART; CSLPO; OO: KANSAS CITY

On 12/18/92, a meeting was held in the United States Attorney’s Office, District of Kansas, Kansas City, Kansas, with SSA’s [Name] and AUSA’s [Name] to discuss instant matter.

AUSA [Name] advised

In this writer’s opinion, the STREET SMART investigation is currently languishing for a number of reasons. There have been continuous delays in obtaining an indictment in the [Case Name], case. The case was originally scheduled to be indicted in September 1992, and the indictment was subsequently changed to October 1992, December 1992, January 1993, and now February 1993. These delays have been caused entirely by a combination of lack of Grand Jury time and lack of prosecutorial resources in the United States Attorney’s Office. Both of these problems are beyond the control of this case agent or the FBI. The writer is currently working with SA [Name] DEA, in doing whatever can be done to prepare the case for trial, which trial will occur some time in the spring of 1993. At the conclusion of this trial, it is the writer’s intention to press AUSA [Name] for an indictment on Kansas City, Kansas Police Officer [Name]. Writer believes that [Name] should be charged with [Charge]. Whether or not [Name] is

AJJ: rp
(2)

[Handwritten Notes]
indicted will depend on whether or not [_____] is convicted. If [_____] is acquitted in the [_____] case, AUSA [_____] will be very reluctant to indict [______]. If [_____] is convicted, an indictment on [_____] will be more likely; however, AUSA [_____] has a certain degree of personal attachment to [_____] and she may be reluctant to personally prosecute him. In this event, SA [_____] will attempt to get AUSA [_____] to prosecute the [_____] matter; however, because of AUSA [_____] personal involvement in this investigation over the last three years, she would be a much better person to handle the case.

At the conclusion of the [_____] cases, it is this writer’s intention to resume [_____] which the Grand Jury is subpoenaing from [_____] on a regular basis.

The purpose of the Title III and Group I, which were done in this investigation in [_____] was to obtain evidence [_____] The Group I and Title III failed in this goal; however, prosecutable drug cases have been made against [_____] attorney has already indicated to AUSA [_____] that his client will most likely plead guilty and cooperate against [_____] Based upon prior conversations which this writer has had with [_____] along with SA [_____] writer believes that [_____] will also plead guilty.

Concerning [_____] once it is assured that [_____] intends to cooperate against [_____] SA [_____] should expeditiously obtain either a complaint or an indictment from the U.S. Attorney’s Office on [_____] and [_____] should be located and interviewed, and his cooperation should be sought. If [_____] agrees to cooperate, the charges against him can be temporarily dismissed, and SA [_____] can focus his investigative efforts on the alleged leak within the KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI, POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCMOPD). If [_____] decides not to cooperate, then the case against him should be pursued and disposed of as quickly as possible. Concerning the Title III and the Group I, which were done in [_____] were formulated almost solely to develop a prosecutable case [_____] Combining the [_____] which have been subpoenaed [_____] there already exists a large pool of historical witnesses who could testify against [_____] Writer believes that
investigation, a primary vehicle to identify such potential sources is jail interviews of Hispanic males and possibly follow-ups. It should be noted, however.

AUSA has stated

AUSA should be supported by the FBI.

In addition, the investigation to date has uncovered numerous civil rights violations being committed by KCKPD officers on a routine basis. The KCKPD does not investigate civil rights allegations against its officers anymore than it investigates allegations of drug dealing or robberies committed by officers. It should be emphasized that the formal complaints made by citizens concerning violations of their civil rights is only the tip of the iceberg. Jail interviews conducted by writer have disclosed numerous instances of individuals receiving at times severe beatings from the police department, and as a routine matter, no complaints are made. Individuals who are severely beaten and do not complain state that they do not file a complaint because they know it will not do any good and nothing will be done about it. All of the evidence collected in this investigation to date proves that these individuals are correct in this assessment. A more aggressive approach by this office in identifying and prosecuting police officers who routinely violate individuals' civil rights would benefit this overall investigation because the investigation to date has shown that many of the same officers involved in corruption are also involved in civil rights violations.

It is also important that any drug investigations conducted by Squad 9 in Kansas City, Kansas, be coordinated with the investigative efforts of Squad 2 and the DEA, so we are not shooting each other in the foot. The reason that there is such an extensive crack cocaine problem in Kansas City, Kansas, is because of the fact that the KCKPD virtually ignores the drug problem in that city. The problem is as bad as it is because of corruption within the police department and general investigative incompetence. Writer has had numerous conversations with
Wyandotte County District Attorney _______. It should be noted that _______ and Chief of Police THOMAS DAILEY are barely on speaking terms and have a practically non-existent professional relationship. Approximately two weeks ago, writer asked _______ what types of drug cases he was getting from the police department, and _______ stated he was not getting any. _______ stated that the only drug cases he gets are people who are found in possession of drugs when they are arrested on some other charge or when drugs are found incident to a traffic stop. _______ is highly critical of the management of the police department and the investigative ability of its officers in the drugs area.

The situation within the KCKPD has existed for decades. The situation did not develop overnight, and it will not be solved overnight. The FBI has never convicted any KCKPD officer of any crime. Until this office does convict several police officers and hopefully gain the cooperation of some of these police officers, the situation in Kansas City, Kansas, will only deteriorate.
Memorandum

To : SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570)(SQ4)(P)

From : SSA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE
DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
00:KC

For the information of recipients, received from
SA is a listing of police officers from the KCKPD
for which you are responsible for analyzing. This communication
documents your assignments as follows:

SA Officer Officer Officer and Officer

SA Officer Officer and Officer

SA Officer Officer and Officer

SA Officer Officer and Officer

The criteria you should use in assessing the merits of
the civil rights complaints should be as follows:

a. The term "excessive force" for the use of this
review is being interpreted to mean "significant injury" resulted
from the alleged constitutional violation.

b. The term "attitude and conduct" in this review is
being defined as "any willful intimidation or interference or any
attempt to do so by threat of any person because of that person's
race, color, religion, sex or national origin."

3 - 44A-KC-71520
1 - ASAC
1 - SSA
1 - SA
1 - SA
1 - SA
1 - SA
WMC: dag
(7)
Your review of the excessive force complaints and attitude and conduct complaints should be based upon the criteria noted above. In addition, you should provide a succinct (four to five lines) description of the nature of the complaint, and your assessment based upon your review as to the merits of the complaint. Your succinct paragraph should be in written format and will be provided to the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE for review to ascertain their continuance of an investigation by the KCD. You should have completed your review on or before 5/24/93. A tickler has been set as a reminder for 5/21/93.

If you have any questions as to the requests contained within this memorandum, please consult with the writer.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTN: SSA
CIVIL RIGHTS SECTION, ROOM 1B948

FROM: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (P)

SUBJECT: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE
DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO: KANSAS CITY

Re Bureau teletype to Kansas City dated 3/29/93.

Referenced teletype requested the Kansas City
Division (KCD) provide a detailed overall plan and timetable
regarding numerous allegations of police use of excessive
force and misconduct.

The following information outlines in brief the
proposed plan for evaluating investigative strategy in
undertaking civil rights investigations at the KANSAS CITY,
KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD).

KCD memorandum dated 1/4/93 previously furnished to
FBIHQ addressed the police misconduct allegations against
KCKPD officers. The total number of officers were 200, in
which brief details were given relative to complaints ranging
from one excessive force complaint per officer to some
officers having 8 to 17 excessive force complaints over a
three-year period. Initially, a total of 340 officer files
were reviewed for which the investigative scope was narrowed.

2 - Bureau
2 - Kansas City
WMC: skd
(4): 6

Approved: ___________________ Transmitted ___________________
(Number) (Time)
In addressing the magnitude of the proposed investigation, the KCD (in conjunction with the United States Attorney’s Office) has set for the following investigative plan:

1. The Federal Grand Jury (FGJ) Subpoena used to obtain

   a. are available. The FBI has requested that the U.S. Attorney’s Office provide copies and the original file with evidentiary documentation to be retained by the FBI.

2. In determining what merits a review, the following terms are being used as a criteria:

   a. The term "excessive force" for the use of this review is being interpreted to mean "significant injury" resulted from the alleged constitutional violation.

   b. The term "attitude and conduct" in this review is being defined as "any willful intimidation or interference or any attempt to do so by threat of any person because of that person’s race, color, religion, sex or national origin."

NOTE:

Criteria (a) and (b) above are interchangeable in evaluating the merits of an investigative inquiry and should not be construed as being defined as "(a) to excessive force" and "(b) to attitude and conduct."

3. The KCD and the United States Attorney's Office believe the following group of KCKPD officers to be the core group based upon the numerous amounts of excessive force and conduct complaints:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICER</th>
<th>EXCESSIVE FORCE COMPLAINT</th>
<th>ATTITUDE/CONDUCT</th>
<th>PREVIOUS/CURRENT FBI INVEST AND FILE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Manpower utilization (estimate):

In item number three (3) above, the total number of excessive force complaints is 160 and the conduct complaints equal 114.

Although it is difficult to accurately assess exact needs without the review and concurrence of the assessment data by the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ) Civil Rights Section as requested in referenced FBIHQ teletype, KCD provides the following estimates:

It is plausible to predict a minimum of eight (8) to ten (10) interviews will be conducted per complaint, amounting to a conservative 1,280 to 1,600 interviews relative to excessive force complaints, and approximately 570 conduct interviews based upon the minimum interview of five (5) persons per complaint. Thus, a total number of interviews could amount in excess of 2,170. Although the length of interview time is difficult to access, it is KCD's estimate that enough CR work is available to keep at least 4 agents busy full-time for the next 6 to 12 months or longer.

5. The proposal assessment phase is as follows:

a. KCD will utilize four (4) agents to review and collate complaint reports and any KCD investigative reports.
b. At present, the core group of 18 officers has been broken down so that each agent has four/five officers assigned to review. For each officer, the agent will review each complaint and provide a succinct summary and any additional pertinent information for review to DOJ. It is estimated this will be completed in the next three to four weeks and sent to FBIHQ to be forwarded to DOJ for review.

6. Based upon DOJ's opinion to proceed, the KCD will open separate civil rights investigations under a captioned officer's name and subfiles for each complaint investigation.

7. The KCD has consulted with the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Kansas, which has concurred with the plan as outlined above. AUSA is the point of contact for DOJ and FBI. AUSA

[Blank box]
This of course is depending upon the appointment and priorities of the new United States Attorney. A designee has not been appointed as yet. AUSA

8. At present, a cursory review indicates some shooting incidents but no deaths as a result of a violation of one's civil rights.

The KCD appreciates FBIHQ's input in assisting with guidance in captioned investigation. In addition, KCD appreciates FBIHQ recognition of the staggered approach allowing for the easing of administrative reporting requirements due to current availability of KCD human resources.
Memorandum

To: SAS (44A-KC-71570)
From: SSA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD); CIVIL RIGHTS (A); OO: KANSAS CITY

Re SSA __________ memo to SAC and recipients dated 4/22/93.

This communication is a reminder that your reviews of the excessive force complaints, attitude and conduct complaints based upon the criteria noted in referenced memo were to be completed prior to 5/24/93. In addition, you were requested to provide the writer with a succinct paragraph (no more than five to ten lines) on each complaint of each of your subjects which is to be forwarded to FBIHQ and subsequently to DOJ for review.

As the KCD was under inspection, it is recognized that a delay in completion by the requested due date of 5/24/93, can be overlooked. However, you should provide your brief write-ups in typed or legible handwritten form to me by COB Thursday, 6/3/93.

1 - 44A-KC-71570
1 - ASAC
1 - SSA
1 - SA
1 - SA
1 - SA
WMC/sld
(7)
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (P)

Date: 4/29/93

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO: KC

Re memo of SSA [Name] dated 4/22/93.

Officer [Name]

An incident occurred on [Location] consisting of 12 or more young people involved in a disturbance near 7th and Troup Streets, Kansas City, Kansas. The complaints were made by numerous young people involved, and among other things, the complaints allege that Officer [Name] stepped on [Name], kicked [Name] in the ribs, used profanity including the term "nigger", hit [Name] on the head with his gun, and requested that the children lay down in the street while the street was wet and it continued to rain. There is no indication of significant injury in this matter. The police officer is white, and remarks were made which could indicate a lack of sensitivity on the officer's part to the black children. If it is determined that this matter should be investigated, at least 25 interviews will be required.

The complaints were made by at least five of the young people involved in the incident, and the complaints were made
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (Date 4/28/93)

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS MATTER;
OO:KC

The following information will include a summary of information received regarding KCKPD officers and possible civil rights violations.

On__________, made a complaint to the KCKPD that Officer__________ used excessive force when arresting him on__________ at his home in__________ and his friend were apparently drinking beer sometime between 12 midnight and 2:00 a.m. on__________ when a motor vehicle accident occurred in the vicinity of their home. The two individuals then walked down the street to view the results of the accident. They were confronted by KCKPD officers, including Officer__________, and his friend and subsequently advised them to depart the scene and they did depart. Later,__________ came to__________ house, forced his way in and then placed__________ under arrest.__________ was also arrested.__________ alleges that he was removed from the house by__________ by pulling his hair, and__________ also beat him with a nightstick on the elbow and head at that time.__________ said he and__________ were placed in a KCKPD squad car and__________ was transported to the KU Medical Center. While waiting in the police car outside the emergency room, according to__________, Officer__________ came to the car, opened the back door and slapped__________ in the head six or eight times.__________ was taken to the KCKPD and after complaining that his elbow was hurt, he was transported back to KU Medical Center by the KCKPD. In addition,__________ advised Officer__________ was abusive in his language during the course of the contact he had with the KCKPD.

Interviews that will be required are complainant

__________ and Officer__________

TET: da
(2) 1*
To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (Date 4/28/93)

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS MATTER;
OO: KC

On [Date] made a complaint regarding Officer [Name].

[Name] advised on [Date] at approximately 4:00 a.m., near Pacific and Ferree Streets in Kansas City, Kansas, he and a friend were stopped by KCKPD Officer [Name] after a chase by the police officer in his vehicle.

[Name] complains that excessive force was used in his arrest in that Officer [Name] kicked him in the stomach, handcuffed him, picked him up by the handcuffs and his hair, and threw him on the back trunk of a police car. [Name] then said he would show how to get into a police car; he opened the door and banged head three or four times on the car door opening before placing [Name] in the car. In addition, [Name] said he was called Armourdale scum and Armourdale trash by Officer [Name].

Interviews that will be required: Officer [Name].
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (Date: 4/28/93)

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS MATTERS;
OO: KC

Re Officer __________ KCKPD.

On __________ filed a complaint with the Internal Affairs Unit of the KCKPD alleging that Officer __________________ used excessive force and was abusive when arresting __________. Officer __________________ was employed off-duty at the __________ store looking for __________ of the __________ store, who according to __________ was having an affair with his wife. According to __________ took him into the back room of the __________ store, handcuffed him and sat him down in battery acid on the floor. In addition, __________ said he was hit by __________ with a nightstick. Five interviews will be needed initially in this matter.
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (Date 4/30/93

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
00: KANSAS CITY

Re memo of SSA [Blank] to SAC, 4/22/93.

The following summary information pertains to Officer

[Blank]

The KCKPD Internal Affairs investigation regarding complaint by [Blank] regarding Officers [Blank]

[Blank] advised that on [Blank] he and his brothers were in the parking lot of [Blank] when multiple police officers surrounded them and ordered them to "freeze" and "get out of the car." [Blank] stated that as he complied and while standing still he was kicked, hit with a flashlight, smacked and had his car vandalized by the arresting officers. [Blank] stated he was visiting friends prior to this incident and had consumed two beers. He stated he did not strike any police officer. Arrestee [Blank] claimed police threw he and his brothers against the cars and observed Officer [Blank] hitting his brother [Blank] with the flashlight on the hand two times. He said that [Blank] tried to hit his brother a third time but missed, striking the window and breaking it. [Blank] also stated Officer [Blank] was hitting his brother with the flashlight. All police officers interviewed denied striking any of the arrestees with a flashlight or baton and stated the initial stop was made based upon information that some of the individuals in the two cars stopped had fled the scene of an earlier drug raid by other police officers. Subject officers also stated that complaints
were uncooperative and combative and stated that one hit Officer [redacted] in the mouth. Officer [redacted] admitted that he assisted in arrest by grabbing an individual by the shoulders and putting him to the ground. KCKPD Internal Affairs report to Chief of Police (COP) resulted in a finding of "not sustained" regarding complainants' allegations and no further action taken by department. No apparent serious injuries were sustained by any of the victims and it would appear that the case would rest on victim's statements versus contradictory statements by arresting police officers.

This KCKPD Internal Affairs complaint was by one [redacted] against Officers [redacted]. Complainant's statement indicated that on [redacted] at the [redacted] she was leaving the restaurant and was stopped by the two police officers. She said the black officer came up to her and asked her for some sex. She said the white officer threw her up against the car, manhandled her and scratched her wrist and then took her to jail. Complainant admitted being a little "tipsy" and admitted she had been drinking a six-pack of beer. It is noted that Officer [redacted] is white and the only complaint against him by the complainant was the fact that he had "padded her down" after she was under arrest. No apparent serious injuries were suffered by complainant and no additional investigative action taken by Internal Affairs Unit, KCKPD.

This was a 1990-1991 investigation captioned POLICE OFFICERS, KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT; [redacted] VICTIM; CR (A); 00: KANSAS CITY." Victim was in his residence and heard his dogs barking in the back yard. Looked up and saw police officers crossing his back yard. [redacted] said that subject officers knocked him down, kicked him and hit him with their night sticks, causing injuries. He was then thrown across the ground, placed in a paddy wagon and taken to a hospital for medical treatment. Subject officers were allegedly looking for an escaped fugitive when confrontation with victim in latter's back yard occurred. Victim's injuries were substantial and photographs were obtained. Subject [redacted] apparently played a minor part in the subduing of victim and victim could not specifically identify [redacted] as one of the arresting officers.
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ. 4) (P)

From: SA

Date: 6/7/93

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO: KANSAS CITY

Re memo of SSA [redacted] to the SAC dated 4/22/93.

The following summary information pertains to Police Officer [redacted]

Victim [redacted] stated that on [redacted] while a patron of the [redacted] he became involved in an altercation with other patrons. During this time, the subject, Officer [redacted] became involved but did not identify himself. [redacted] was escorted outside by other patrons in order to calm down, and while standing there, Officer [redacted] walked up to him with a flashlight in hand, cursed at him, and then struck him three times in the head and face area with his flashlight. A second police officer arrived and assisted in handcuffing [redacted]. The victim said that while he was on the ground and handcuffed, Officer [redacted] struck him multiple times with the flashlight, causing injuries which were treated at a local hospital. An independent witness confirmed the victim's story of being struck by the subject.

This incident occurred on [redacted] wherein the victim, a juvenile, claimed that he was beaten by KCKPD Officers without just cause. The victim, who had just turned [redacted] and did not have a driver's license, attempted to outrun the police, which resulted in his car stopping due to the tires blowing out. The police arrived and broke out the driver's window with a blackjack. Both doors opened and the victim was grabbed and literally dragged out of the car, thrown to the ground, and clubbed with blackjacks or night sticks by what the victim believed to be three officers. The victim was taken to BETHANY MEDICAL CENTER, where he refused treatment because he thought the
injuries were not that serious. The victim was later taken to
KANSAS UNIVERSITY (KU) MEDICAL CENTER, where he was treated for
injuries, including receiving three stitches on the back of his
left shoulder. Other bruises were noted on his lower backside.
The victim also had a bloody nose and received six stitches on
the lower left side of his jaw. The victim received 19 traffic
violation citations. Subject was not interviewed, but
his police report indicates that after the chase, the victim
locked the driver's door, necessitating the officers' breaking of
the window to remove the suspect. The report further states that
the suspect became combative, and it became necessary to use
minimal force to remove and take him into custody. This matter
was closed by a DOJ memo dated 2/5/88, as well as a confirming
memo by AUSA dated 9/5/90.

This is an Internal Affairs Unit, KCKPD incident report
involving multiple officers, including that
occurred in . In this administrative inquiry, Officer
was ordered to give his statement to Internal Affairs.
The complainant in this matter, the NAACP Legal Department,

dated a group of 16 black children, ages 7-16, were walking
to a relative's house when they were stopped by six caucasian
male police officers. The officers searched them and ordered
them to the ground, even in the mud. When one of the older
cousins questioned why the police were doing this and asked what
they had done wrong, one of the officers proceeded to hit him
with his gun and repeatedly kick him with his shoes. Another
cousin was then thrown over the fence by another officer, and the
officer proceeded to beat him. Officer admitted being at
the scene and that he had searched one of the juveniles and held
another down with his foot, but denied any hitting or kicking of
the youths. The incident resulted in Officer receiving a "Counseling Form," which was as a result of a
complaint filed against the department and stated that Officer
could have allowed the children to get up out of the rain
sooner after he found out there were no weapons involved.
Officer was told that in the near future, in inclement
weather such as this, he should use a little more tact in
handling this type of call.

This subfile primarily concerns Police Officer ; however, the last serial is a clipping from the
Kansas City Kansan captioned, "Lawsuit Filed Against Police."
The article states that a Kansas City, Kansas, man had filed a lawsuit against three KCKPD Officers who he claimed beat him without provocation. [_________] filed a lawsuit in Wyandotte County District Court against Officers [_________]. According to [_______] the officers beat him with night sticks and flashlights, and kicked him. [_________] said he had to be hospitalized for four days for broken ribs, a collapsed lung, a bloody and battered nose, scratches on his eyes and face, and swollen eyes and lips. This newspaper article was from the 5/21/92 edition of the paper.

This is a [_____] complaint made to the Internal Affairs Unit by [_________] claims that he was stopped by the KCKPD for no reason and was struck by one of the officers. The arresting officers were [_________]. Officer [_______] arrest report statement indicates that [_____] was orally abusive and threatened to sue him as a result of this arrest. [______] who claimed he was injured, was taken to the BETHANY MEDICAL CENTER, but did not wait for treatment due to the crowd. [_______] said he went over to the Missouri side for treatment. There was no disposition shown by the KCKPD regarding this matter.
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ. 4) (P)

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD); CIVIL RIGHTS (A); OO: KANSAS CITY

Re memo of SSA__________ to the SAC dated 4/22/93.

The following summary information pertains to Officer ________

A LEAVENWORTH PRISON CAMP inmate advised that he is familiar with a KCKPD Officer by the name of ________ who has a street name of ________. The inmate said he has heard talk on the street that Officer ________ takes guns or money from individuals and does not arrest them. The inmate said that on seven occasions, and four times during one week in ________, made traffic stops on the inmate and searched the inmate's entire vehicle, including the trunk, for no apparent reason.

An inmate at the LANSING CORRECTIONAL FACILITY also identified a photograph of Officer ________ and said that Officer ________ had beaten him on six or more occasions. The inmate said that he was always beaten after the police had succeeded in handcuffing him. The inmate said the police made him spread his legs and hit him in the "nuts." The inmate said Officer ________ is the only officer that specifically hit him in the "nuts" when he was handcuffed, and Officer ________ did this on two occasions. The inmate also stated that Officer ________ smashed the inmate's face into a wall.

A Kansas City Office source advised that ________

RJB: akr (2)
44A-KC-71570

[Redacted] advised that he was "set up" by Officers [Redacted] and (FNU) [Redacted] (phonetic). [Redacted] was searched three times by police and found to be clean but then was searched by Officer [Redacted] who stated, "Look what we've got here," and pulled out a small rock of crack cocaine, which Officer [Redacted] supposedly had taken out of the back pocket of [Redacted] pants.

[Redacted] stated that in [Redacted] he was driving his brother's car when he was pulled over by Officer [Redacted] who found $1,000.00 wrapped in a rubber band in one of his pants pockets, and then found another $500.00 which was simply crumpled up. Officer [Redacted] told [Redacted] that all of the money looked like drug money and that he (Officer [Redacted]) was going to have to investigate. [Redacted] searched the car but found no drugs. [Redacted] indicated that he did not have a license and figured it was best to let Officer [Redacted] take his $1,500.00 in cash. Officer [Redacted] let [Redacted] and his friend leave the scene.

This [Redacted] Internal Affairs investigation was based upon a complaint that Officer [Redacted] falsely arrested one [Redacted] for Possession of Drug Paraphernalia (Crack Pipe) when she refused to become an informant. An Internal Affairs investigation was conducted, and Captain [Redacted] Director, Bureau of Inspections, concurred with the investigators' conclusion that [Redacted] had both motive and vengeance in alleging misconduct by Officer [Redacted]. He did not believe that the allegations by [Redacted] had substantially been supported by the facts and evidence; therefore, he recommended that the complaint be deemed unsubstantiated.

This is a KCKPD Internal Affairs investigation in late [Redacted] Several officers were involved, including Officer [Redacted] however, the Internal Affairs recommendation was that the charges against Officer [Redacted] were not sustained, and a review of the other material in this matter appears to indicate that Officer [Redacted] played a secondary role in the arrest of the complainant. No serious injuries were sustained by the complainant.
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ. 4) (P)

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD); CIVIL RIGHTS (A); OO: KANSAS CITY

Re memo of SSA to the SAC dated 4/22/93.

The following summary information pertains to Officer

The complaint in this case states that the victims were in the bedroom of their residence when Officer and three other officers burst into their bedroom. The victim claimed that Officer beat him on the legs and knees and then beat the second victim, breaking her nose. The victim told Officer who had slapped his girlfriend, to stop it, and then all three officers began beating him with their nightsticks. The victim was taken to the local jail, booked, and later released at 9:00 a.m. The victim sought treatment at KANSAS UNIVERSITY (KU) MEDICAL CENTER for injuries. The case was closed by a DOJ memo dated 11/25/86, stating the case lacked prosecutive merit.

The victim in this case claimed that the KCKPD arrived at his residence in response to a disturbance call, and police hit and beat him until he was unconscious. The victim was taken for medical treatment and then lodged in jail. Officer was interviewed and stated that in response to a disturbance call, the victim was attempting to escape the residence and he (Officer grabbed the young boy and held him to his front to prevent escape. Officer stated that the victim continued to struggle and that he had to forcibly be taken out of the house. The case was closed by a DOJ memo dated 6/23/89, stating the case lacked prosecutive merit.

RJB:akr

(2)
This is a [redacted] KCKPD, Internal Affairs complaint. The victim, [redacted] alleged that for no reason, Officer [redacted] arrested him while he was walking on the exercise track at OAK GROVE SCHOOL. He further said he was choked, cursed at, scratched, and then handcuffed. The victim advised that the handcuffs cut into his wrists, leaving a scabbed-over wound. Officer [redacted] arrest report stated that he observed [redacted] walking in a field near the school and that Officer [redacted] asked if everything was all right. [redacted] replied with an obscenity, and Officer [redacted] placed him in custody. There is no indication that the Internal Affairs conducted any further investigation in this case.
Memorandum

To : SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (P)

Date 6/9/93

From : SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO: KC

Re memo of SSA 4/22/93.

Per referenced memo, reporting agent conducted a review of complaints that had been received by KCKPD against Police Officer ______.

____ alleged they were hit and kicked by Officer ______ and another officer. KCKPD officers had responded to area due to a citizen’s complaint of a young man on a bicycle. ______ and were seen in the area and were stopped. Police state wrecked and were injured trying to evade the police on their bicycles when they hit the curb. ______ was taken to hospital for broken jaw and stitches in chin. IAD found complaint not valid based on witness statements.

____ alleged he was struck by police using a flashlight and several batons while being arrested. ______ had 15 stitches in head. According to IAD investigation, witness alleged that ______ assaulted police officers, including Officer ______ with his fists and police only used batons to defend themselves. Police officers also advised they used batons trying to ward off the blows from ______. Complaint was not valid.

____ alleged he was beaten three times on ______ by Officer ______ and two other officers when he was arrested. ______ stated officers used racial slurs against him and his family. Family members advised that they never heard any racial slurs and no evidence that police officers violated department policy. Complaint was marked unfounded.
alleged he had been treated badly during an arrest by Officer [redacted] but refused to make a formal complaint.
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (P) Date 6/9/93

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO:KC

Re: memo of SSA 4/22/93.

Per referenced memo, reporting agent conducted a review of complaints that had been received by KCKPD against Police Officer .

, alleged that on he was stopped by Officer for a license plate light being out. alleged that he was only stopped because he was a black male driving a nice truck. was arrested and charged with possession of a deadly weapon, as well as resisting arrest. admitted having weapon in the front seat of his truck for protection. declined to make a formal complaint and no further action was taken.

were interviewed in prison but no clear allegations concerning were set out.
To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (P) Date 6/8/93

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO: KC

Re memo of SSA 4/22/93.

Per referenced memo, reporting agent conducted a review of several incidents of complaints made against Police Officer from subject department.

The first complaint was a newspaper article of filing a civil suit against and two other KCK officers. The suit alleged that was beaten up on 1/25/91 and was hospitalized for four days with injuries. No further information was available on this civil action, and never contacted the FBI.

was arrested after stealing a person's billfold with money and credit cards in it. alleges that kept the $10 and a $5 rock of cocaine. IAD investigation located $10 in evidence locker and no information about drugs. did not follow up on complaint.

alleged excessive force used when he was arrested on his complaint, but from other information it appeared that was very intoxicated and assaulted the police officer.

alleged that Officer after he found 9mm pistol under his seat in a car, put the weapon to head and was encouraged by another officer to pull the trigger to see if the weapon was loaded. Investigation by IAD could not verify that this happened.

alleged he was struck twice on the leg for no reason by Officer with nightstick while being arrested. Officer admitted to
striking once on calf of leg when started to spin towards him off the police car prior to being handcuffed. Because used approved procedure, the complaint was judged to be unfounded.

An FBI source (not further identified) alleged that along with some other KCK police officers, were involved in stealing money and drugs from suspects that they stopped on the street.
Memorandum

To: SAC, KC (44A-KC-71570) (SQ 4) (P)  Date: 6/9/93

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO:KC

Re memo of SSA TO SAC, 4-22-93.

The following summary information pertains to Officer

This KCKPD Internal affairs investigation was by against Officer

On advised that he was in a park at 1:30 a.m. and was arrested without explanation. He added that he was extremely intoxicated and would not comply with the officers requests. He alleges he was thrown to the ground and struck in the eye with a club. He was thrown in a drunk tank with adults and not allowed to make a phone call. All along he claims he told the officers he was a juvenile. (Note: Complainant never returned to the Internal Affairs Unit to file a formal complaint. Officers were reprimanded by the KCKPD for not "establishing arrested true age."

This KCKPD internal affair complaint was by against Officer

On and another male were stopped in their vehicle for transporting stolen merchandise. claimed Officer used excessive force on him when would not comply with an order to spit out the "9 rocks" of cocaine he had in his mouth in a baggie. hit him in the mouth with his nightstick, causing to lose a tooth. Officer denies hitting tooth was "loosened " after scuffling with Officer and falling to the ground, hitting his mouth on a curb. tossed the cocaine into a creek while on the ground and retrieved it. Officer claims that while was waiting at the hospital to be treated for his cut lip, taunted Officer talking about the RODNEY KING trail, how he had shot a Sheriff's Deputy.
etc. All along was wiggling at his loose tooth. Once the tooth became dislodged, said to "this one's on you, I will claim you knocked it out."
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) 6/9/93

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO: KANSAS CITY

Re memo of SSA to SAC, 4/22/93.

The following summary information pertains to Officer

The KCKPD Internal Affair investigation regarding
complaint by regarding

advised that on Officer struck him

struck him as he was exiting his vehicle as he had been
instructed to do. Officer was interviewed and
acknowledged he had struck two times because he would not
comply, was intoxicated, and took a boxers stance against

once he exited the vehicle.

This KCKPD complaint was by against

statement indicated that on he had gone
to the Police Station booking desk to bond out a friend who had
been arrested. Officers advised he
would have to leave the area. did not comply.
states that after he was handcuffed by they
purposely let him fall on the floor causing injury to his knees
and forehead. was treated and released from local
hospital. (NOTE: No Internal Affairs reports in file, no
investigation reports, no indication of injuries sustained from
the hospital report).

This KCKPD Internal Affairs investigation was by

against Officer and Detective
On ________ advised that he was arrested for no reason and the officers used excessive force and stole money from him. The officers allege complainant was intoxicated when arrested. His money was returned after locating it in the Police Department Property Room. Complainant advised that he would return to file a formal complaint. Complainant never came back and the investigation was reduced to a miscellaneous report.

This KCKSPD Internal investigation was by ________ against Officers ________.

On ________ advised that he was a passenger with three other males when stopped by Officers ________. ________ was asked to get out of the car. As he did Officer ________ observed and empty 9mm weapon. ________ advised that they were coming back from the BULLET HOLE (a firearms range in Lenexa, Ks). ________ complained that Officer ________ held the weapon to ________ head and Officer ________ "go ahead, pull the trigger to see if it's loaded." ________ admits to saying "he will look up the officers after this is all over with" but did not consider it a threat. Both officers deny putting the gun to complainant's head. They also stated that three of the four persons in the vehicle had been drinking and ________ was belligerent to them.
Memorandum

To: SAC, KC (44A-KC-71570) (SQ.4) (P)  Date: 6/10/93
From: SA
Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD); CIVIL RIGHTS (A); OO: KC

Re memo of SSA to SAC, 4-22-93.

The following summary information pertains to Officer

This KCKPD Internal Affairs complaint was by against Officers

______ alleges he was beaten by Police on three different occasions during the night of ______. According to the Officers, ______ had beat up his girlfriend and she called the Police. ______ ran away before their arrival. The Police were notified that ______ was back at home. When officers responded there was no answer. One officer went to the back door to get a response. This officer confronted ______ and a fight ensued. When ______ responded to the noise, ______ had Officer ______ in a headlock and was attempting to grab his nightstick. ______ and ______ tried to assist Officer ______ struck ______ three times in the face. Officers had to drag ______ to the Police vehicle and struggled with him more. While at the hospital, ______ was belligerent to the Officers and spit on the Officers several times. Officer ______ advises he had to scuffle with ______ to get him to get back on the bed as ______ was dragging the bed to get at the officers. Note: Hospital personnel verified Officer ______ statements re ______ actions. The District Attorney’s Office report states ______ was aggressive from the start and the Officers were justified in using reasonable force to effect the arrest.

Refer to memo of SA re Officers

[Handwritten note: 44A-KC-71570-20]
This Internal Affairs investigation regarding complaint by [Blank] against Officer [Blank]

On [Blank] claims he was sitting in his disabled vehicle when Officer [Blank] arrived. He claims that he is a diabetic and when he did not get out of his vehicle fast enough, Officer [Blank] verbally abused him and kicked him two times. Officer [Blank] denied kicking [Blank]. He stated that he was intoxicated, had no drivers license, and fell out of the vehicle. [Blank] also refused to take a breathalyzer test.

This KCKPD Internal Affairs complaint was by [Blank] against Officers [Blank].

On [Blank] Police responded to a domestic argument re [Blank] and his girlfriend. While at the residence, [Blank] attempted to attack his girlfriend's neighbor. Officer [Blank] stepped between the two of them and [Blank] pushes [Blank] and [Blank] attempt to subdue [Blank]. He was hit several times with nightsticks, pushed to the floor, and handcuffed during this attempt. All Officers concurred that they hit [Blank] but added that this force was necessary to effect the arrest. NOTE: One witness states [Blank] was "going crazy" and it took all three officers to subdue him.

This KCKPD Internal Affairs Misc. Report was filed by [Blank] against Officers [Blank].

ON [Blank] advised that her cousin had been arrested and she and her brother followed the Police Car to the station in order to bond him out. [Blank] states that before they even attempted to get out of their car, they were yanked out, hit several times, and arrested. According to reports made by [Blank] both [Blank] and her brother were loud and cursing when asked to leave the parking lot. Both were arrested after trying to interfere with the arrest of their cursing. At one point, [Blank] was physically placed in Officer [Blank] Police car. As [Blank] went to assist [Blank] exited the Police car and attempted to use the telephone. Officer [Blank] again had to physically place her in the Police Vehicle. Officer [Blank] advised that both [Blank] and her brother
Memorandum

To : SAC, KC (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (P)       Date 6/16/93

From : SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
        CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
        OO: KC

Re memo of SSA to SAC, 4-22-93.

The following summary information pertains to Officer:

This KCKPD Internal Affairs Investigation regarding
complaint by regarding Officers . NOTE: The complaint is
mainly against but was present.

On complainant alleged he was struck with a
flashlight by during the course of his arrest. All
officers deny allegations. The officers stated that
complainant’s head struck a stud in the unfinished portion of the
basement as he tried to flee. NOTE: The D.A.’s Office ruled a
lawful arrest was made and case was unfounded.

This KCKPD Internal Affairs complaint was made by
against Officer .

On stated that he was a passenger in a
vehicle that was stopped by Officer alleged that he
was asked to get out of the vehicle. Once, out of the vehicle
allegedly punched him in the head twice, and in the ribs
repeatedly AFTER he was handcuffed. Complainant admitted he gave
a false name because he had outstanding warrants against him.
NOTE: There are no Internal Affairs statements from Officer

According to Arrest report, there were several
warrants for Complainant’s arrest and that complainant would not
give his true identity to Officer .

This KCKPD Internal Affairs complaint was filed by
against Officers .
Note: This complaint is directed mainly at [redacted] but [redacted] was present. On [redacted] complainant alleges that the was beat up by officers as they were arresting him. Officers claim complainant sustained a small laceration on his cheek when he was violently resisting arrest. [redacted] Complainant refused treatment at the hospital. Complainant filed an miscellaneous Internal Affairs report but never returned to the KCKPD to file a formal complaint. Investigation was reduced to a miscellaneous report. There are no statements from [redacted] in this file.

This KCKPD Internal Affairs complaint was filed by [redacted] against Officer [redacted].

On [redacted] City Jail inmate, [redacted] alleges that while participating in a line up, he was assaulted by Officer [redacted]. He added that he was on his way to the line up when [redacted] started to verbally abuse him, then for no reason, hit him in the face. [redacted] returned the punch and hit [redacted] in the face. [redacted] admits to hitting [redacted] three times in the face and also admitted that he made a statement that if he could have gotten to Officer [redacted] weapon, he would have shot him.) According to Officer [redacted] and all others present, [redacted] started the altercation by saying to [redacted] "take off your gun and badge you white mother fucker and I will kick your ass." He then punched [redacted] As other officers restrained [redacted] he managed to punch [redacted] two more times. Note: During review of this file, it is apparent that [redacted] has a long history of being violent with inmates and Law Enforcement Personnel. It should also be noted that this incident occurred in April, 1990 and [redacted] did not provide a statement until Sept., 1990.

This Internal Affairs complaint was filed by the NAACP against Officers [redacted] and [redacted] for alleged abuse of 12-14 black youths. The Chief of Police ordered an Administrative Special Investigation.

According to a letter sent by the NAACP on behalf of the black youths, as a group of approximately 16 children walking to a relatives house, were stopped by 6 white police officers and told to lay on the wet pavement as it rained. When some of the children asked why the police stopped them, they were allegedly hit with guns, kicked, punched, etc. One child supposedly had an asthma attack and was refused medical treatment. The officers also made racial slurs as they were on the ground.

According to Police Officers, they were called to the location believing there was a gang fight in the street and
possible weapons. The officers claim no one was ever punched, struck with a weapon, and the child with asthma was taken to a police car to get him out of the rain. NOTE: This complaint was not directed at Officer_____ he was present. According to Officer______ he arrived on the scene as the group was dispersing. The case was ruled as unfounded.______ and ______ received counseling for allowing the children to lay on the wet ground too long.
Memorandum

To : SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570)(SQ4)(P) 6/18/93

From : SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS(A);
OO: KANSAS CITY

Re memo of SSA 4/22/93.

According to referenced memo, a review of complaints received by KCKPD against Police Officer produced the following:

On 5/25/90, the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE (NAACP) filed a complaint with the KCKPD, alleging abuse of 12 to 14 young black youths near Troup and Tremont in Kansas City, Kansas. The hour of the incident was 9:00 p.m. Officers named in the incident included The Internal Affairs Division of the KCKPD conducted an investigation and conducted numerous interviews. The Internal Affairs Unit's conclusion was that the allegations were unfounded. According to the complaint, the children, age 7-16, were walking in the rain from their aunt's house to their grandfather's house in Kansas City, Kansas. The complainant said at approximately 8:30 to 9:00 p.m., the young people were stopped by six Caucasian male police officers. The children were searched and made to lie down on the ground in the rain.
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (P) Date: 6/18/93

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO: KC

Re: memo of SSA 4/22/93.

According to referenced memo, the writer reviewed complaints received regarding KCKPD Officer (PROTECT IDENTITY BY REQUEST).

No disposition was given in this matter.

Complainant complained that on he came to city hall to determine the amount of bond which had been set on . He said he was arrested and kicked five times by Officer . The incident was reviewed by Internal Affairs and the complaint was found to be unfounded.

Complainant filed a complaint on alleging he was arrested by Officer without resistance. complained that Officer struck him in the face and tried to push
his head into the paddy wagon doors. According to[blanks] after arriving at the city hall underground parking lot in the paddy wagon, Officer[blanks] got into the back of the paddy wagon and struck him several times while he was still handcuffed. Investigation by Internal Affairs of the police department determined there was not sufficient evidence to prove any rules or regulations of the police department were violated by Officer[blanks].

[blanks] filed a report 4/25/90 alleging that on[blanks] excessive force was used by Officers[blanks] when she was arrested. No disposition was given in this matter.

[blanks] complained to KCKPD that Officer[blanks] answered a domestic disturbance call to their home[blanks]. According to[blanks] after Officer[blanks] and another police officer took control of the situation, [blanks] was arrested and struck by Officer[blanks]. The report was made 4/3/90. The incident was investigated by the Internal Affairs Division of the KCKPD. No disposition was given.
Memorandum

To: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570)(SQ4)(P) 6/18/93

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS(A);
OO: KANSAS CITY

Re memo of SSA 4/22/93.

According to referenced memo, the writer reviewed complaints received by KCKPD against Police Officer. The review follows:

An excessive force complaint involving Officer and Officer was filed by. The incident occurred. According to he and were dragged to the police car by officers after and a dozen other individuals who had been drinking were dispersed by the officers between 12:30 a.m. and 2:30 a.m. Witnesses made statements that Officer hit in the back of his head with a night stick while was on the ground. was treated at K.U. MED CENTER for a cut behind his right ear. The complaint was reviewed by Wyandotte County District Attorney as well as IAD at KCKPD, and the officers were not found to be at fault.

filed a complaint against Officer and Officer. The complaint cited action taken by the officers. The incident occurred at 11:30 a.m., at claimed he was hit in the head with a night stick by Officer and another witness claimed head was rammed into the police car by one of the officers. The police officer's
statement indicated______ was drunk, resisted arrest, and ran from the officers before being arrested and jailed. No disposition was noted by the KCKPD.

______ complained that he was arrested without justification by Officer_______ and was hit in the face after being handcuffed. The incident occurred on ____ at 2:50 a.m., at 69th and State in Kansas City, Kansas. Investigation by the Internal Affairs Unit of the KCKPD found the complaint unfounded and that no rules and regulations were broken.

______ complained that his automobile was illegally searched by Officer_______ at 2:50 a.m., near 69th and State in Kansas City, Kansas. ____ claimed his car was illegally searched and he was detained without justification. Investigation by the KCKPD Internal Affairs Unit found the complaint unfounded, and no action was taken against Officer_______.

______ was arrested during the early morning hours of ____ by Officer_____. He claimed he was struck on the hands with a flashlight and then struck with a billy club on the head and shoulders by Officer_______. In addition, __________ also furnished information of continuing incidents of police harassment by the KCKPD. Numerous interviews were conducted in this matter, and reports were submitted to FBIHQ. A communication dated 4/21/89 from ______ Civil Rights Division, indicates the case does not have prosecutive merit.

______ claims that on ____ he had a domestic dispute with his wife, and as a result his wife called the KCKPD to their residence. ______ claims that responding officers beat him with their flashlights. The officers were_______. Investigation was conducted by the Kansas City FBI Office and report was submitted to the Department of Justice, dated 7/23/86. Notice of file closing was received 10/6/86 from FBIHQ.
Memorandum

To: SAC. KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570) (SQ4) (Date 6/18/93)

From: SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE
DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO: KANSAS CITY

Re Kansas City airtel to the Bureau, 4/26/93.

The following indices review concerns summary
information concerning Police Officer.

In this case, victim claimed police were called as
a result of a domestic disturbance and victim denied true
identity when questioned by police due to outstanding warrants.
Victim said that when his true name became known, subject
officers punched him, knocking him to the floor and he was
handcuffed. Same officer struck him numerous times in
the head, face and mouth with his closed fists. Second police
officer struck him only once. Victim sustained bruises and a
chipped tooth. contacted but declined interview and
stood by his arrest report. added that if victim was
injured it occurred when he was forced to tackle victim to keep
him from fleeing from the scene. Second officer confirmed that victim attempted to escape and that used
only enough force to subdue and handcuff victim while they were
on the floor scuffling. Case was closed by DOJ memo 5/26/88.

Victim claimed that in he was being
pursued by police from Missouri to Kansas, which resulted in his
crashing and wrecking the car. Victim said police officers,
including pulled him from the wrecked car, handcuffed
him at the wrist and ankles and then began hitting him with
flashlights and pistols and kicked him in the face, wrist and
stomach. Neighborhood witnesses confirmed that after crash police fired a shot (warning) and then subdued him and heard him cry out to stop hitting him. Both [redacted] and Officer [redacted] declined to be interviewed. This case was closed by Kansas City report 4/8/92.

This case is in an Pending Inactive Status. Victim was arrested by [redacted] and another officer for a robbery and assault. Victim stated [redacted] threatened to kill him and in an attempt to prevent this he physically grabbed [redacted] and they fell to the ground. Second officer, [redacted] then shot victim once in the jaw. Victim says [redacted] stood up, drew his weapon and fired point blank, striking him in the chest. Victim was charged with local offenses and currently serving time in KANSAS STATE PENITENTIARY. Kansas City attempting to obtain officers' description of what happened through a transcript of the local trial proceedings.

This matter was opened on the basis of a prison inmate providing a statement indicating that [redacted] had robbed him of money, drugs and pistols on over ten separate occasions. A second prison inmate stated that after a traffic stop by [redacted] the latter reached into his pocket and pulled out a packet of cocaine. Inmate said that he was clean, and that [redacted] in effect, planted the drugs on him. He was not arrested for possession at this time. This second inmate also stated he has been stopped on numerous occasions and at least four times [redacted] and another officer, [redacted] took four handguns from him but only three of the guns were reported. This subfile also indicates an article in the Kansas City Kansan newspaper, dated 5/21/92, stating that one [redacted] filed a lawsuit against several officers, including [redacted] alleged he was beaten by nightsticks and flashlights, as well as being kicked, resulting in his being hospitalized for four days with broken ribs, collapsed lung and other injuries.
This is a copy of a KCKPD Internal Affairs investigation into an allegation of excessive force by officers regarding in July 1987. A Kansas City Star newspaper article dated 3/4/89 indicated that the KCKPD Internal Affairs were unable to complete their investigation because the victim did not cooperate with investigators. There is no indication in this file as to the results of the civil trial where the victim was seeking money damages.

A second incident that occurred on listed and other officers as harassing one as receiving duplicate misdemeanor citations from two different officers on the same incident. No further investigation conducted by the Internal Affairs Unit.

Another incident was based on a complaint of and Officer The complainant alleged that he was struck after being handcuffed by both officers. A statement was taken and victim was requested to return to sign statement but the letter notifying him of this request was returned marked "Moved, left no address." This Internal Affairs report also indicates that the FBI has contacted the Internal Affairs Unit and advised that has filed a complaint with that office.

Another incident occurred on when one complained that threatened to kill him.

Another incident occurred where one filed an excessive force complaint against alleging that he was struck with a baton by after he was cuffed, causing a one-inch laceration to his right temple area. A miscellaneous information form was noted stated that on 2/23/89 came to the Internal Affairs Unit and requested to sign a request to terminate his investigation as a part of his court disposition. Consequently, this case was reduced to a miscellaneous status on the request of the complainant and no further investigation conducted.
Memorandum

To : SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570)(SQ4)(P)ate 6/23/93

From : SA

Subject: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO:KC

Re memo of SSA 4/22/93.

According to referenced memo, the writer reviewed complaints received regarding KCKPD Officer______

______ complained that they were injured by Officer______ when he responded to a disturbance call on______ at ______. An investigation was conducted by the Internal Affairs Unit of the KCKPD and the complaints were not sustained. The matter was reviewed by_Wyandotte County District Attorney, and he did not find the officer at fault. The injuries alleged were the use of a head lock on______ and hitting______ with a nightstick.

______ Officer______ and Officer______ were dispatched to______ to quell a family disturbance. Upon arriving at the scene, the officers restrained______ from a hold she had on her brother.______ later complained that she was injured as a result of the officer using a neck restraint to prevent her from continuing to fight with her brother. An investigation was conducted by the Internal Affairs Unit of the KCKPD and it was determined that Officer______ was not at fault. The claims were not sustained.

______ advised on 1/12/89 that on______ during the afternoon hours, KCKPD officers came to his residence and arrested him for outstanding warrants. During the course of the

TET:days

(2)
arrests, claims he was struck in the mouth with a shotgun butt by Officer. In addition, according to he was struck in the head with a nightstick by Officer and Officer. According to Officer struck him on the side of the head, as well as on the ribs and legs. then said Officer stuck him on the back of his head. also indicated he was struck in the jaw by Officer fist. claims he sustained numerous injuries as a result of the above treatment. Investigation was conducted by SA and SA. An LHM was submitted to FBIHQ, 6/21/89, closing the matter administratively due to the fact that injuries were relatively minor, and the only witnesses to the alleged incident are the arresting police officers.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (ATTN: SSA CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT, ROOM 1B948)

FROM: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570)(SQ4)(P)

SUBJECT: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD);
CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
OO: KANSAS CITY

Re Kansas City airtel to the Bureau, dated 4/26/93.

For information of the Bureau, the Kansas City Division (KCD) has completed item 5.a. & b. of referenced airtel, i.e. assessment phase.

Enclosed are succinct summaries of the complaints (Excessive Force/Attitude and Conduct) of the KCKPD officers as noted under item 3 in referenced KCD airtel.

FBIHQ is to note that not every excessive force or attitude and conduct complaint attributed to each officer as reported in referenced KCD airtel was summarized. This was due to the criteria used as defined in referenced KCD airtel under item 2.a. and b.

Upon review of the following summaries, FBIHQ is requested to furnish the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ) the summary complaints for evaluation to determine the next investigative phase (noted in items 6 & 7 of referenced airtel) to be taken by the KCD.

2 - Bureau
(2) - Kansas City
MMC: dag
(4)
For information of FBIHQ, the opinionated consensus of the agent personnel conducting the analytical reviews are as follows:

1. Although the summary of the complaints cited herein meet the minimal criteria under the guidelines of MIOG, Part I, Section 44 (A&B), it is opined they may not fit the criteria (standards) under which the DOJ would be inclined to pursue a successful prosecution.

2. A majority of the complainants never follow up on their complaint. This is probably due to the fact they believe nothing will be done and no action taken or they themselves are less than credible witnesses.

3. We have determined that a strong cohesiveness exists between the officers in that it would be difficult to obtain or single out one officer to testify against the other.

4. Review of the complaints indicates in most cases the officers followed proper police policy and procedure. The actions taken by the officers were proper and just given the circumstances and would be required under normal situations.

5. The KCD has initiated some 44A&B matters on these officers and is aggressively pursuing them.

If after review by DOJ of the summaries and it is determined not to initiate further action, the KCD proposes the following objectives:

1. Meet with and direct a letter to KCKPD Chief of Police TOM DAILY and his representatives to explain the FBI’s concerns. We intend to offer our support in the form of training and seminars.

2. Meet with and direct a letter to the Police Union (FOP) and explain the FBI’s concerns.

3. Seek support of officers in providing education to KCKPD regarding training, attitude and conduct in dealing with the public.
Officer - Summaries:

1. 

a. The KCKPD Internal Affairs Division (IAD) investigation regarding complaint by [redacted] regarding Officers [redacted] and [redacted] advised that on the evening of [redacted], he and his brothers were in the parking lot of [redacted] when multiple police officers surrounded them and ordered them to "freeze" and "get out of the car." [redacted] stated that as he complied and while standing still, he was kicked, hit with a flashlight, smacked and had his car vandalized by the arresting officers. [redacted] stated he was visiting friends prior to this incident and had consumed two beers. He stated he did not strike any police officer.

Arrestee [redacted] claimed police threw he and his brothers against the cars and observed Officer [redacted] hitting his brother [redacted] with the flashlight on the hand two times. He said that [redacted] tried to hit his brother a third time but missed, striking the window and breaking it. [redacted] also stated Officer [redacted] was hitting his brother with the flashlight. All police officers interviewed denied striking any of the arrestees with a flashlight or baton, and stated the initial stop was made based upon information that some of the individuals in the two cars stopped had fled the scene of an earlier drug raid by other police officers. Subject officers also stated that complaints were uncooperative and combative, and stated that one hit Officer [redacted] in the mouth. Officer [redacted] admitted that he assisted in arrest by grabbing an individual by the shoulders and putting him to the ground. KCKPD IAD report of Chief of Police resulted in a finding of "not sustained" regarding complainants' allegations and no further action taken by department. No apparent serious injuries were sustained by any
of the victims, and it would appear that the case would rest on victim’s statements versus contradictory statements by arresting police officers.

b. This KCKPD IAD complaint was by one ______ against Officers ______ and ______.

Complainant’s statement indicated that on _______ she was leaving the restaurant and was stopped by the two police officers. She said the black officer came up to her and asked her for some sex. She said the white officer threw her up against the car, manhandled her and scratched her wrist and then took her to jail. Complainant admitted being a little "tipsy" and admitted she had been drinking a six-pack of beer. It is noted that Officer ______ is white and the only complaint against him by the complainant was the fact that he had "patted her down" after she was under arrest. No apparent serious injuries were suffered by complainant and no additional investigative action taken by IAD, KCKPD.

c. This was a 1990-1991 investigation captioned "POLICE OFFICERS, KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT; VICTIM(A); 00: KANSAS CITY". Victim was in his residence and heard his dogs barking in the backyard, looked up and saw police officers crossing his back yard. ______ said that subject officers knocked him down, kicked him and hit him with their nightsticks, causing injuries. He was then thrown across the ground, placed in a paddy wagon and taken to a hospital for medical treatment. Subject officers were allegedly looking for an escaped fugitive when confrontation with victim in latter’s backyard occurred. Victim’s injuries were substantial and photographs were obtained. Victim’s injuries were substantial and photographs were obtained. Subject ______.
apparently played a minor part in the subduing of victim and victim could not specifically identify as one of the arresting officers.

2. 

a. Victim stated that on while a patron of the he became involved in an altercation with other patrons. During this time, the subject, Officer became involved but did not identify himself. was escorted outside by other patrons in order to calm down, and while standing there, Officer walked up to him with a flashlight in hand, cursed at him and then struck him three times in the head and face area with his flashlight. A second police officer arrived and assisted in handcuffing . The victim said that while he was on the ground and handcuffed, Officer struck him multiple times with the flashlight, causing injuries which were treated at a local hospital. An independent witness confirmed the victim’s story of being struck by the subject.

b. This incident occurred on wherein the victim, a juvenile, claimed that he was beaten by KCKPD officers without just case. The victim, who had just turned and did not have a driver’s license, attempted to outrun the police, which resulted in his car stopping due to the tires blowing out. The police arrived and broke out the driver’s window with a blackjack. Both doors opened and the victim was grabbed and literally dragged out of the car, thrown to the ground and clubbed with blackjacks or nightsticks by what the victim believed to be three officers. The victim was taken to BETHANY MEDICAL CENTER where he refused treatment because he thought the injuries were not that serious. The victim was later taken to KANSAS UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER where he was treated for injuries, including receiving three stitches on the back of his left shoulder. Other bruises were noted on his lower backside. The victim also had a
bloody nose and received six stitches on the lower left side of his jaw. The victim received 19 traffic violation citations. Subject was not interviewed, but his police report indicates that after the chase, the victim locked the driver’s door, necessitating the officers’ breaking of the window to remove the suspect. The report further states that the suspect became combative, and it became necessary to use minimal force to remove and take him into custody. This matter was closed by a DOJ memo dated 2/5/88, as well as a confirming memo by AUSA dated 9/5/90.

c. This is an IAD, KCKPD, Incident Report involving multiple officers, including that occurred in In this administrative inquiry, Officer was ordered to give his statement to IAD. The complainant in this matter, the NAACP Legal Department, stated that a group of 16 black children, ages 7-16, were walking to a relative’s house when they were stopped by six caucasian male police officers. The officers searched them and ordered them to the ground, even in the mud. When one of the older cousins questioned why the police were doing this and asked what they had done wrong, one of the officers proceeded to hit him with his gun and repeatedly kick him with his shoes. Another cousin was then thrown over the fence by another officer, and the officer proceeded to beat him. Officer admitted being at the scene and that he had searched one of the juveniles and held another down with his foot, but denied any hitting or kicking of the youths. The incident resulted in Officer receiving a "Counseling Form," which was a result of a complaint filed against the department and stated that Officer could have allowed the children to get up out of the rain sooner after he found out that there were no weapons involved. Officer was told that in the near future, in clement weather such as this, he should use a little more tact in handling this type of call.
d. This subfile primarily concerns Police Officer ______ however, the last serial is a clipping from the Kansas City Kansan captioned, "Lawsuit Filed Against Police."

The article states that a Kansas City, Kansas man had filed a lawsuit against three KCKPD officers who he claimed beat him without provocation. ______ filed a lawsuit in Wyandotte County District Court against Officers ______ and ______. According to ______ the officers beat him with nightsticks and flashlights and kicked him. ______ said he had to be hospitalized for four days for broken ribs, a collapsed lung and swollen eyes and lips. This newspaper article was from the 5/21/92 edition of the paper.

e. This is a ______ complaint made to the IAD by ______ claims that he was stopped by the KCKPD for no reason and was struck by one of the officers. The arresting officers were ______. Officer ______ arrest report statement indicates that ______ was orally abusive and threatened to sue him as a result of this arrest. ______ who claimed he was injured, was taken to the BETHANY MEDICAL CENTER but did not wait for treatment due to the crowd. ______ said he went over to the Missouri side for treatment. There was no disposition shown by the KCKPD regarding this matter.

3. ______:

a. The KCKPD IAD investigation regarding complaint by ______ regarding ______

______ advised that on ______ Officer ______ struck him twice with a nightstick on his thigh for no reason. Officer ______ struck him as he was exiting his vehicle as he had been instructed to do. Officer ______ was interviewed and acknowledged he had struck
two times because he would not comply, was intoxicated and took a boxers stance against once he exited the vehicle.

b. This KCKPD complaint was by against Officers

statement indicated that on he had gone to the police station booking desk to bond out a friend who had been arrested. Officers advised he would have to leave the area. did not comply. states that after he was handcuffed by they purposely let him fall on the floor causing injury to his knees and forehead. was treated and released from local hospital. (NOTE: No IAD reports in file, no investigation reports, no indication of injuries sustained from the hospital report).

c. This KCKPD IAD investigation was by against Officer and Detective

On advised that he was arrested for no reason and the officers used excessive force and stole money from him. The officers allege complainant was intoxicated when arrested. His money was returned after locating it in the police department's property room. Complainant advised that he would return to file a formal complaint. Complainant never came back and the investigation was reduced to a miscellaneous report.

d. This KCKPD IAD investigation was by against

On advised that he was a passenger with three other males when stopped by Officers was asked to get out of the car. As he did, Officer observed an empty 9mm weapon. advised that they were coming back from the BULLET HOLE (a firearms range in Lenexa,
Kansas).  complained that Officer held the weapon to head and Officer said, "Go ahead, pull the trigger to see if its loaded." admits to saying "he will look up the officers after this is all over with" but did not consider it a threat. Both officers deny putting the gun to complinant's head. They also stated that three of the four persons in the vehicle had been drinking and was belligerent to them.

4.

a. This KCKPD IAD investigation regarding complaint by regarding Officers NOTE: The complaint is mainly against but was present.

On complainant alleged he was struck with a flashlight by during the course of his arrest. All officers deny allegations. The officers stated that complinant's head struck a stud in the unfinished portion of the basement as he tried to flee. NOTE: The D.A.'s Office ruled a lawful arrest was made and case was unfounded.

b. This KCKPD IAD complaint was made by against Officer

On stated that he was a passenger in a vehicle that was stopped by Officer alleged that he was asked to get out of the vehicle. Once out of the vehicle, allegedly punched him in the head twice and in the ribs repeatedly after he was handcuffed. Complainant admitted he gave a false name because he had outstanding warrants against him. NOTE: There is no IAD statement from Officer According to arrest report, there were several warrants for complinant's arrest and that complainant would not give his true identity to Officer.
c. This KCKPD IAD complaint was filed by __________ against Officers __________ and __________.

NOTE: This complaint is directed mainly at __________ but __________ was present. On __________, complainant alleges that he was beaten up by officers as they were arresting him. Officers claim complainant sustained a small laceration on his cheek when he was violently resisting arrest. Complainant refused treatment at the hospital. Complainant filed a miscellaneous IAD report but never returned to the KCKPD to file a formal complaint. Investigation was reduced to a miscellaneous report. There are no statements from __________ in this file.

d. This KCKPD IAD complaint was filed by __________ against Officer __________.

On __________, City Jail inmate __________ alleges that while participating in a lineup, he was assaulted by Officer __________. He added that he was on his way to the line up when __________ started to verbally abuse him, then for no reason, hit him in the face. __________ returned the punch and hit __________ in the face. __________ admits to hitting __________ three times in the face and also admitted that he made a statement that if he could have gotten to Officer __________, weapon, he would have shot him.) According to Officer __________ and all others present, __________ started the altercation by saying to __________ "Take off your gun and badge you white mother fucker and I will kick your ass." He then punched __________. As other officers restrained __________, he managed to punch __________ two more times. During review of this file, it is apparent that __________ has a long history of being violent with inmates and law enforcement personnel. It should also be noted that this incident occurred in April, 1990 and __________ did not provide a statement until September, 1990.
e. This IAD complaint was filed by the NAACP against Officers for alleged abuse of 12-14 black youths. The Chief of Police ordered an Administrative Special Investigation.

According to a letter sent by the NAACP on behalf of the black youths, as a group of approximately 16 children walking to a relatives house, they were stopped by 6 white police officers and told to lay on the wet pavement as it rained. When some of the children asked why the police stopped them, they were allegedly hit with guns, kicked, punched, etc. One child supposedly had an asthma attack and was refused medical treatment. The officers also made racial slurs as they were on the ground.

According to police officers, they were called to the location believing there was a gang fight in the street and possible weapons. The officers claim no one was ever punched or struck with a weapon. The officers claim no one was ever struck with a weapon, and the child with asthma was taken to a police car to get him out of the rain. NOTE: This complaint was not directed at Officer although he was present. According to Officer he arrived on the scene as the group was dispersing. The case was ruled unfounded.

received counseling for allowing the children to lay on the wet ground too long.

5.

a. In this 1987 KCD case, victim claimed police were called as a result of a domestic disturbance and victim denied true identity when questioned by police due to outstanding warrants. Victim said that when his true name became known, subject officers punched him, knocking him to the floor and he was handcuffed. Same officer, struck him numerous times in the head, face and mouth with his closed fists. Second police officer
struck him only once. Victim sustained bruises and a chipped tooth. [ ] contacted but declined interview and stood by his arrest report. [ ] added that if victim was injured, it occurred when he was forced to tackle victim to keep him from fleeing from the scene. Second officer, [ ] confirmed that victim attempted to escape and that [ ] used only enough force to subdue and handcuff victim while they were on the floor scuffling. Case was closed by DOJ memo 5/26/88.

b. Victim claimed in the KCD investigation [ ] that in [ ] he was being pursued by police from Missouri to Kansas, which resulted in his crashing and wrecking the car. Victim said police officers, including [ ] pulled him from the wrecked car, handcuffed him at the wrist and ankles and then began hitting him with flashlights and pistols and kicked him in the face, wrist and stomach. Neighborhood witnesses confirmed that after the crash, police fired a shot (warning) and then subdued him and heard him cry out to stop hitting him. Both [ ] and Officer [ ] declined to be interviewed.

This case was closed by Kansas City report 4/8/92.

c. This investigative matter [ ] is in a pending inactive status. Victim was arrested by [ ] and another officer for robbery and assault. Victim stated [ ] threatened to kill him and in an attempt to prevent this he physically grabbed [ ] and they fell to the ground. Second officer, [ ] then shot victim once in the jaw. Victim says [ ] stood up, drew his weapon and fired point blank, striking him in the chest. Victim charged with local offenses and currently serving time in Kansas State Penitentiary. KCD attempting to obtain officers’ description of what happened through a transcript of the local trial proceedings.
d. This complaint was opened on the basis of a prison inmate providing a statement indicating that [redacted] had robbed him of money, drugs and pistols on over ten separate occasions. A second prison inmate stated that after a traffic stop by [redacted] the latter reached into his pocket and pulled out a packet of cocaine. Inmate said that he was clean and that [redacted] in effect, planted the drugs on him. This second inmate also arrested for possession at this time. This second inmate also stated he has been stopped on numerous occasions and at least four times [redacted] and another officer, [redacted] took four handguns from him but only three of the guns were reported. This subfile also indicates an article in the Kansas City Kansan newspaper, dated 5/21/92, stated that one [redacted] filed a lawsuit against several officers, including [redacted] alleged he was beaten by nightsticks and flashlights, as well as being kicked, resulting in his being hospitalized for four days with broken ribs, collapsed lung and other injuries.

e. This KCKPD IAD investigation into an allegation of excessive force by Officers [redacted] regarding [redacted] in July, 1987. The Kansas City Star newspaper article, dated 3/4/89, indicated that KCKPD IAD were unable to complete their investigation because the victim [redacted] did not cooperate with investigators. There is no indication in this file as to the results of the civil trial where the victim was seeking monetary damages.

A second incident that occurred on [redacted] listed [redacted] and other officers as harassing one [redacted] as receiving duplicate misdemeanor citations from two different officers on the same incident. No further investigation conducted by the IAD.
f. Another incident, based on a complaint of excessive force complaint regarding and Officer . The complainant alleged that he was struck after being handcuffed by both officers. A statement was taken and victim was requested to return to sign statement but the letter notifying him of this request was returned marked "Moved, left no address." This IAD report also indicates that the FBI has contacted the IAD and advised that has filed a complaint with that office.

g. Another incident occurred on when one complained that threatened to kill him.

h. Another incident occurred where one filed an excessive force complaint against alleging that he was struck with a baton by after he was handcuffed, causing a one-inch laceration to his right temple area. A miscellaneous information form was noted stating that on 2/23/89, came to the IAD and requested to sign a request to terminate his investigation as a part of his court disposition. Consequently, this case was reduced to a miscellaneous status on the request of the complainant and no further investigation conducted.

6. 

a. On filed a complaint with the IAD of the KCKPD alleging that Officer used excessive force and was abusive when arresting . Officer was employed off-duty at the advised he was in the store looking for (LNU), manager of the store, who according to was having an affair with his wife. According to took him into the back room of the
store, handcuffed him and sat him down in battery acid on the floor. In addition, said he was hit by with a nightstick.

b. On made a complaint regarding Officer.

advised on at approximately 4:00 a.m., near Pacific and Ferree Streets in Kansas City, Kansas, he and a friend, were stopped by KCKPD Officer after a chase by the police officer in the vehicle, complains that excessive force was use in his arrest in that Officer kicked him in the stomach, handcuffed him, picked him up by the handcuffs and hair and threw him on the back trunk of the police car. then said he would show hoe to get into a police car; he opened the door and banged head three or four times on the car door opening before placing in the car. In addition, said he was called Armourdale scum and Armourdale trash by Officer.

c. On made a complaint to the KCKPD that Officer used excessive force when arresting him on at his home in Kansas City, Kansas. and his friend were apparently drinking beer sometime between 12 midnight and 2:00 a.m. on when a motor vehicle accident occurred in the vicinity of their home. The two individuals then walked down the street to view the results of the accident. They were confronted by KCKPD officers, including Officer, exchanged words with and his friend and subsequently advised them to depart the scene and they did depart. Later, came to house, force his way in and then placed under arrest. was also arrested. alleges that he was removed from the house by by pulling his hair, and also beat him
with a nightstick on the elbow and head at that time. [___] said he and [___] were placed in a KCKPD squad car and [___] was transported to the Kansas University (KU) Medical Center. While waiting in the police car outside the emergency room, according to [___] Officer [___] came to the car, opened the back door and slapped [___] in the head six or eight times. [___] was then taken to the KCKPD and after complaining that his elbow was hurt, he was transported back to KU Medical Center by the KCKPD. In addition, [___] advised Officer [___] was abusive in his language during the course of the contact he had with the KCKPD.

7. 

a. An excessive force complaint involving Officer [___] and Officer [___] was filed by [___]. The incident occurred [___]. According to [___] he and [___] were dragged to the police car by officers after [___] and a dozen other individuals who had been drinking were dispersed by the officers between 12:30 a.m. and 2:30 a.m. Witnesses made statements that Officer [___] hit [___] in the back of his head with a nightstick while [___] was on the ground. [___] was treated at KU Medical Center for a cut behind his right ear. The complaint was reviewed by IAD at KCKPD and the officers were not found to be at fault.

b. [___] filed a complaint against Officer [___] and Officer [___]. The complaint cited action taken [___] by the officers. The incident occurred at 11:30 a.m. at [___] residence. [___] claimed he was hit in the head with a nightstick by Officer [___] and other witness claimed [___] head was rammed into the police car by one of the officers. The
officer's statement indicated he was drunk, resisted arrest and ran from the officers before being arrested and jailed. No disposition was noted by the KCKPD.

c. [Redacted] complained that he was arrested without justification by Officer and was hit in the face after being handcuffed. The incident occurred on [Redacted] at 2:50 a.m., at 69th and State in Kansas City, Kansas. Investigation by the IAD of the KCKPD found the complaint unfounded and that no rules and regulations were broken.

d. [Redacted] complained that his automobile was illegally searched by Officer at 2:50 a.m., near 69th and State in Kansas City, Kansas. [Redacted] claimed his was illegally searched and he was detained without justification. Investigation by the KCKPD IAD found the complaint unfounded and no action was taken against Officer [Redacted].

e. [Redacted] was arrested during the early morning hours of [Redacted] by Officer. This matter was investigated by the KCD under file [Redacted] claimed he was struck on the hands with a flashlight and then struck with a billy club on the head and shoulders by Officer [Redacted]. In addition, [Redacted] also furnished information of continuing incidents of police harassment by the KCKPD. Numerous interviews were conducted in this matter and reports were submitted to FBIHQ. A communication dated 4/21/89 from [Redacted] Civil Rights Division, indicates the case did not have prosecutive merit.

f. [Redacted] claims that on [Redacted] he had a domestic dispute with his wife, and as a result his wife called the KCKPD to their residence. This matter was investigated by the KCD under file [Redacted] claims that responding officers beat him
with their flashlights. The officers were [illegible]. Investigation was conducted by the KCD and report was submitted to the DOJ, dated 7/23/86. Notice of file closing was received 10/6/86 from FBIHQ.

8.

a. [illegible] complained that they were injured by Officer [illegible] when he responded to a disturbance call on [illegible]. An investigation was conducted by the IAD of the KCKPD and the complaints were not sustained. The matter was reviewed by [illegible] Wyandotte County District Attorney, and he did not find the officer at fault. The injuries alleged were the use of a head lock on [illegible] and hitting [illegible] with a nightstick.

b. On [illegible] Officer [illegible] and Officer [illegible] were dispatched to [illegible] to quell a family disturbance. Upon arriving at the scene, the officers restrained [illegible] from a hold she had on her brother. [illegible] later complained that she was injured as a result of the officer using a neck restraint to prevent her from continuing to fight with her brother. An investigation was conducted by the IAD of the KCKPD and it was determined that Officer [illegible] was not at fault. The claims were not sustained.

c. [illegible] advises on [illegible] that on [illegible] during the afternoon hours, KCKPD officers came to his residence and arrested him for outstanding warrants. This matter was investigated under [illegible]. During the course of the arrests, [illegible] claims he was struck in the mouth with a shotgun butt by Officer [illegible]. In addition, according to [illegible] he was struck in the head with a nightstick by Officer [illegible] and
According to Officer ________, struck him on the side of the head, as well as on the ribs and legs. ________ then said Officer ________ struck him on the back of his head. ________ also indicated he was struck in the jaw by Officer ________ fist. ________ claims he sustained numerous injuries as a result of the above treatment. Investigation was conducted by SA ________ and SA ________. An LHM was submitted to FBIHQ, 6/21/89, closing the matter administratively due to the fact that injuries were relatively minor, and the only witnesses to the alleged incident are the arresting officers.

9.

a. ________ of Police Officer ________ made a formal complaint of battery against ________ for activity that took place when ________ was off duty. ________ later formally withdrew her complaint. ________ was adamant that no intimidation or coercion by anyone was involved in her decision to withdraw complaint. No further investigation was conducted by the KCKPD.

b. ________ alleges that he was stopped by Officer ________ and ________ used derogatory and profane language in talking to him and his passenger. ________ stated ________ kept his driver's license, which he subsequently received in the mail. ________ did not make a formal complaint. Apparently there was an open beer can in the possession of the passenger. No arrests were made and ________ was counseled by KCKPD concerning the importance of following police procedures in handling open containers.

c. ________ made complaint against Officer ________ alleging ________ stole some money from him. ________ dropped complaint so no facts are known about case.
d. Officer____ came into his place of business looking for two people that had beaten up on a police officer. _____ stated he did not know where the people were, at which time____ told him that if he saw_____driving his car on the street he would give him a ticket and have his car towed. ____ was advised to obey traffic laws and to notify KCKPD if any further harassment from____ took place.

e. ____ alleged that Officer_____ struck him on the left side of his forehead while he,_____ was a passenger in a pickup. _____ advised that he hit his head on the door of pickup when he exited the truck and ran from the police. ____ did not return to sign his statement so that investigation would commence. ____ was being sought for assaulting his wife earlier.

f. ____ alleges that Officer_____ failed to act when girlfriend shot the windshield in his car. _____ alleges that _____ told him that his girlfriend would file counter charges against_____ stated that then dated his girlfriend after the incident. No formal complaint was filed.

g. ____ accused Officer_____ of taking some tapes that had been removed from her place of business after a burglary. _____ allegedly carried the tapes and other property in the trunk of his patrol car before leaving the scene of the crime. _____ was recalled to scene so that his trunk could be rechecked, no tapes. The next morning the missing tapes were located across the street by a driveway neatly stacked up. _____ stated they were not there the day before. No formal complaint filed.

h. ____ alleged that Officer____ came to her apartment looking for stolen property. She told____ he could search and nothing was
found. then called her a "fat bitch" and said "fuck you" as he left. denied the allegation. No further action taken.

i. alleged that Officer came to her car, which was occupied by her and her friends about midnight, and forced them to get out of the car and stand in the cold for about an hour. allegedly threatened one female for no reason. No arrests or citations were issued by . IAD asked what she was doing at that location at midnight and no answer was given. No further investigation conducted.

j. alleged that Officer and a white officer picked him up at Kaw View Juvenile Detention on to transport him to County Juvenile Detention because he was causing a disturbance. stated the officers took him a couple of blocks away from Kaw View, hit him with a nightstick and forced him to return to Kaw View and apologize to staff prior to going to County Detention. later refused to sign his statement stating he did not have a complaint and did not want an investigation conducted. Initial complaint had been made by mother.

k. alleged that Officer and another officer beat him after he was handcuffed and shackled. Several officers were required to subdue by his own statement, and he admitted to resisting arrest. was charged with several charges and failed to appear in court. never came in to proof and sign his statement. The police reports, including the report by a lieutenant on the scene, were all consistent with each other and no further investigation was conducted by IAD.
l. Alleged that Officer ___ and other officers used excessive force and destroyed his property and threatened to "blow him away" during a car stop. ___ never came in to sign statement so no further investigation was conducted other than an interview of ___.

m. Alleged that he was arrested for not obeying a police officer and was struck by Officer ___ for no reason. ___ admitted to having been drinking and did not want to drive. ___ stated he was following the paddy wagon he was being transported to jail in and when driver stopped, ___ removed him from wagon in order to place handcuffs behind him. ___ stated he had moved hands from his back to his front while in the wagon. Another rider in paddy wagon advised that ___ had struck him while riding to jail. ___ advised he had to struggle with ___ while trying to put handcuffs in the back. No formal complaint was made; thus, no investigation conducted.

n. Alleged he was stopped by Officer ___ while walking towards his car. ___ stated ___ asked for identification, which he gave to him. ___ stated he was hit in the head several times with ___ "billy club" for no reason. ___ alleged that ___ kept his driver's license and told him to move on. No tickets or citations were issued. Driver's license was turned into property by ___ stating he had forgot to return after a pedestrian check. ___ turned the license in after he was contacted about ___ license. No further investigation was conducted by IAD since ___ failed to return and sign his formal statement.

o. KCKPD made a complaint against Officer ___ for his lack of cooperation with his fellow officers responding to a loud party at which ___ was a guest. ___ was accused of neglect of duty and suspended for five days.
p. Alleged while being detained by Officer he was choked after being handcuffed. further alleged that cut his neck while choking him. also stated that removed his pager from his waistband and threw it on the ground for no reason. stated that he was messing around with his cousin and "flipped" his cousin off and thought that had "flipped" him off. stated also made verbal threats to him. was interviewed and denied allegations and stated he arrested for disorderly conduct for "giving him the finger." Case was marked "unfounded" because no witnesses could be located.

q. Alleged that he was arrested following a police pursuit in which he was a passenger in the pursued vehicle. alleged that kicked him in the face and struck him with a club after he was handcuffed. Arresting officers at the scene advised that did not get near. The driver of the vehicle would not come in to be interviewed in this matter. District Attorney, State of Kansas, reviewed investigation and stated evidence did not support allegation.

r. Alleged Officer when called to her residence by her, treated her without respect and "talked bad" to her. She alleged told her "he kills people all of the time and she was going to die." No investigation conducted by KCKPD. supervisor was made aware of the situation.

s. Alleged when arrested by Officer he was threatened by allegedly stated, "I'll pull my gun out and kill you right now." stated he had previously dated mother and that was upset at him for this. admitted that he had pushed a woman off of his porch after she had slapped him. also admitted to having drunk only
two beers, but that he was placed in jail on a six hour hold for intoxication. Investigation by IAD found complaint to be "unfounded."

t. ____________________________
   telephonically advised that Officer _______ and his brother used to live at the apartments she is the manager of and that _______ worked security. _______ stated she had fired _______ and told them to stay off the property. _______ stated she is being harassed by _______ by coming on to the property, playing music and throwing beer cans on the parking lot. _______ also advised she had lied to the KCKPD at the request of _______ when he was working security for her apartment. A complaint had been made about _______ giving his badge and gun to his brother while making an off duty arrest. She stated _______ had done this on more than one occasion while his brother assisted him in making the arrest. _______ lied and said _______ did not do this. _______ was asked to come to the police station and make a formal complaint. She never did; thus, no investigation was conducted.

u. ____________________________
   alleged that Officer _______ had planted drugs on him during a car stop for an outstanding warrant. _______ later admitted to a DEA agent that he had in fact bought the drugs. Complaint was determined to be "unfounded."

v. ____________________________
   and ____________________________ all
   made an informal complaint against Officer _______ when he was off duty and the _______ family and the above people got into an altercation. _______ was poked in the eye by _______.
   Complaint was determined to be "not sustained" by investigation by KCKPD.

w. ____________________________
   made a complaint against Officer _______ on the way he handled a police service call. _______
indicated that [redacted] had a bad attitude and was rude, boisterous and belligerent. Informal complaint was determined to be "not sustained" by KCKPD.

x. [redacted] alleged he was struck with nightstick after being handcuffed, was arrested without cause and taken to jail but not charged. Officer [redacted] and another officer were the people charged in the complaint. An investigation was conducted, officers and witnesses interviewed and complaint was "not sustained."

y. [redacted] alleged that Officer [redacted] and other unknown officers used excessive force while placing him under arrest. [redacted] was a suspect in suspicion of auto theft and was stopped at 1:00 a.m. with a pair of gloves and a screwdriver in his pants. Investigation conducted by IAD and Kansas District Attorney declined any action in this matter.

z. KCKPD conducted a truth verification examination on Officer [redacted] 11/24/92. [redacted] had released some prisoners that he had been instructed to take to jail. [redacted] was suspended for a period of 30 days for violation of Department of Rules and Regulations.

10. [redacted]:

a. This KCKPD IAD investigation was by [redacted] against Officer [redacted] and [redacted].

On [redacted] advised that he was in a park at 1:30 a.m. and was arrested without explanation. He added that he was extremely intoxicated and would not comply with the officers' request. He alleges he was thrown to the ground and struck in the eye with a club. He was thrown in a drunk tank with adults and not allowed to make a telephone call. All along he claims he told the officers he was a juvenile. (NOTE: Complainant never returned to
the IAD to file a formal complaint. Officers were reprimanded by the KCKPD for not "establishing arrested true age."

b. This KCKPD IAD complaint was a against Officer

On and another male were stopped in their vehicle for transporting stolen merchandise. claimed Officer used excessive force on him when would not comply with an order to spit out the "9 rocks" of cocaine he had in his mouth in a baggie. claims hit him in the mouth with his nightstick, causing to lose a tooth. Officer denies hitting tooth was "loosened" after scuffling with Officer and falling to the ground, hitting his mouth on a curb. tossed the cocaine into a creek while on the ground and retrieved it. Officer claims that while was waiting at the hospital to be treated for his cut lip, taunted Officer talking about the RODNEY KING trial, how he had shot a Sheriff's Deputy, etc. All along was wiggling at his loose tooth. Once the tooth became dislodged, said to "This one's on you. I'll claim you knocked it out."

11. 

a. A Leavenworth Prison Camp inmate advised that he is familiar with a KCKPD officer by the name of who has a street name of . The inmate said he has heard talk on the street that Officer takes guns or money from individuals and does not arrest them. The inmate said that on seven occasions, and four times during one week in October of 1989, Officer made traffic stops on the inmate and searched the inmate's entire vehicle, including the trunk, for no apparent reason.
b. An inmate at the Lansing Correctional Facility also identified a photograph of Officer_______ and said that Officer_______ had beaten him on six or more occasions. The inmate said that he was always beaten after the police had succeeded in handcuffing him. The inmate said the police made him spread his legs and hit him in the "nuts." The inmate said Officer_______ is the only officer that specifically hit him in the "nuts" when he was handcuffed, and Officer_______ did this on two occasions. The inmate also stated that Officer_______ smashed the inmate's face into a wall.

c. A KCD source advised that_______

d. ________ advised that he was "set up" by Officers_______ (phonetic). ________ was searched three times by police and found to be clean but then was searched by Officer_______ who stated, "Look what we've got here," and pulled out a small rock of crack cocaine, which Officer_______ supposedly had taken out of the back pocket of pants.

e. ________ stated that in 1992, he was driving his brother's car when he was pulled over by Officer_______ who found $1,000.00 wrapped in a rubber band in one of his pants pockets, and then found another $500.00 which was simply crumpled up. Officer_______ told ________ that all of the money looked like drug money, and that he (Officer_______) was going to have to investigate. ________ searched the car but found no drugs. ________ indicated that he did not have a license and figured it was
best to let Officer take his $1,500.00 in cash. Officer let and his friend leave the scene.

f. This 1990 IAD investigation was based upon a complaint that Officer falsely arrested one for Possession of Drug Paraphernalia (Crack Pipe) when she refused to become an informant. An IAD investigation was conducted, and Captain concurred with the investigators' conclusion that had both motive and vengeance in alleging misconduct by Officer . He did not believe that the allegations by had substantially been supported by the facts and evidence; therefore, he recommended that the complaint be deemed unsubstantiated.

g. This is a KCKPD IAD investigation in late 1992. Several officers were involved, including Officer; however, the IAD recommendation that the charges against Officer were not sustained, and a review of the other material in this matter appears to indicate that Officer played a secondary role in the arrest of the complainant. No serious injuries were sustained by the complainant.

12. :

a. alleged that on he was stopped by Officer for a license plate light being out. alleged that he was only stopped because he was a black male driving a nice truck. was arrested and charged with possession of a deadly weapon, as well as resisting arrest. admitted having weapon in the front seat of his truck for protection. declined to make a formal complaint and no further action was taken.
b. __________________ were interviewed in prison but no clear allegations concerning __________________ were set out.

13. __________________

a. __________________ and alleged they were hit and kicked by Officer __________________ and another officer. KCKPD officers had responded to area due to a citizen's complaint of a young man on a bicycle. __________________ were seen in the area and were stopped. Police state __________________ were wrecked and were injured trying to evade the police on their bicycles when they hit the curb. __________________ was taken to hospital for broken jaw and stitches to chin. IAD found complaint not valid based on witness statements.

b. __________________ alleged he was struck by police using a flashlight and several batons while being arrested. __________________ had 15 stitches in head. According to IAD investigation, witness alleged that __________________ assaulted police officers, including Officer __________________ with his fists and police only used batons to defend themselves. Police officers also advised they used batons trying to ward off the blows from __________________. Complaint was not valid.

c. __________________ alleged he was beaten three times on __________________ by Officer __________________ and two other officers when he was arrested. __________________ stated officers used racial slurs against him and his family. Family members advised that they never heard any racial slurs and no evidence that police officers violated department policy. Complaint was marked unfounded.

d. __________________ alleged he had been treated badly during an arrest by Officer __________________ but refused to make a formal complaint.
14. 

a. The first complaint was a newspaper article of [redacted] filing a civil suit against [redacted] and two other KCKPD officers. The suit alleged that [redacted] was beaten up on [redacted] and was hospitalized for four days with injuries. No further information was available on this civil action, and [redacted] never contacted the FBI.

b. [redacted] was arrested after stealing a person’s billfold with money and credit cards in it. [redacted] alleges that [redacted] kept the $10 and a $5 rock of cocaine. IAD investigation located $10 in evidence locker and no information about drugs. [redacted] did not follow up on complaint.

c. [redacted] alleged excessive force used when he was arrested on his complaint, but from other information it appears that [redacted] was very intoxicated and assaulted the police officer.

d. [redacted] alleged that Officer [redacted] after he found [redacted] 9mm pistol under the seat in a car, put the weapon to [redacted] head and was encouraged by another officer to pull the trigger to see if the weapon was loaded. Investigation by IAD could not verify that this happened.

e. [redacted] alleged he was struck twice on the leg for no reason by Officer [redacted] with [redacted] nightstick while being arrested. Officer [redacted] admitted to striking [redacted] once on calf of leg when [redacted] started to spin towards him off the police car prior to [redacted] being handcuffed. Because [redacted] used approved procedure, the complaint was judged to be unfounded.

f. An FBI source (not further identified) alleged that [redacted] along with other KCKPD officers, were involved in stealing money and drugs from suspects they stopped on the street.
15. a. The complaint in this 1986 case states that the victims were in the bedroom of their residence when Officer and three other officers burst into their bedroom. The victim claimed that Officer beat him on the legs and knees and then beat the second victim, breaking her nose. The victim told Officer who slapped his girlfriend, to stop it, and then all three officers began beating him with their nightsticks. The victim was taken to the local jail, booked and later released at 9:00 a.m. The victim sought treatment at KU Medical Center for injuries. The case was closed by a DOJ memo dated 11/25/86, stated the case lacked prosecutive merit.

b. The victim in this 1989 case claimed that the KCKPD arrived at his residence in response to a disturbance call, and police hit and beat him until he was unconscious. The victim was taken for medical treatment and then lodged in jail. Officer was interviewed and stated that in response to a disturbance call, the victim was attempting to escape the residence and he (Officer) grabbed the young boy and held him to his front to prevent escape. Officer stated the victim continued to struggled and that he had to forcibly be taken out of the house. The case was closed by DOJ memo dated 6/23/89, stating the case lacked prosecutive merit.

This is a 1990 KCKPD IAD complaint. The victim alleged that for no reason, Officer arrested him while he was walking on the exercise track at OAK GROVE SCHOOL. He further said he was choked, cursed at, scratched and then handcuffed. The victim advised that the handcuffs cut into his wrists, leaving a scabbed-over wound. Officer arrest report stated that he observed walking in a field near the school and that Officer asked if everything was all right. replied with an obscenity and Officer
placed him in custody. There is no indication that the IAD conducted any further investigation in this case.

16. 

a. This KCKPD IAD complaint was by [against Officers].

[alleges he was beaten by police on three different occasions during the night of [ ]]. According to the officers, [ ] had beaten up his girlfriend and she called the police. [ ] ran away before their arrival. The police were notified that [ ] was back at home. When officers responded there was no answer. One officer went to the back door to get a response. This officer confronted [ ] and a fight ensued. When [ ] responded to the noise, [ ] had officer [ ] in a headlock and was attempted to grab his nightstick. [ ] tried to assist Officer [ ] struck three times in the face. Officers had to drag [ ] to the police vehicle and struggled with him more. While at the hospital [ ] was belligerent to the officers and spit on the officers several times. Officer [ ] advises he had to scuffle with [ ] to get him to get back to bed as [ ] was dragging the bed to get at the officers. NOTE: Hospital personnel verified Officer [ ] statements regarding actions. The District Attorney's Office report states [ ] was aggressive from the start and the officers were justified in using reasonable force to effect the arrest.

b. This IAD investigation regarding complaint by [against Officer [ ]].

[ ] claims he was sitting in his disabled vehicle when Officer [ ] arrived. He claims that he is a diabetic and when he did not get out of his vehicle fast enough, Officer [ ] verbally abused him and kicked him two times. Officer [ ] denied...
kicking [redacted]. He stated that [redacted] was intoxicated, had no driver’s license and fell out of the vehicle. [redacted] also refused to take a breathalyzer test.

c. This KCKPD IAD complaint was filed by [redacted] against Officers [redacted].

On [redacted] police responded to a domestic argument regarding [redacted] and his girlfriend. While at the residence, [redacted] attempted to attack his girlfriend’s neighbor. Officer [redacted] stepped between the two of them and pushed [redacted] attempted to subdue [redacted]. He was hit several times with nightsticks, pushed to the floor and handcuffed during this attempt. All officers concurred that they hit [redacted] but added that this force was necessary to effect the arrest. NOTE: One witness stated [redacted] was "going crazy" and it took all three officers to subdue him.

d. This KCKPD IAD miscellaneous report was filed by [redacted] against Officers [redacted].

On [redacted] advised that her cousin had been arrested and she and her brother followed the police car to the station in order to bond him out. [redacted] states that before they even attempted to get out of their car, they were yanked out, hit several times and arrested. According to reports made by [redacted] and [redacted] both [redacted] and her brother were loud and cursing when asked to leave the parking lot. Both were arrested after trying to interfere with the arrest of their cousin. At one point, [redacted] was physically placed in Officer [redacted] police car. As [redacted] went to assist, [redacted] exited the police car and attempted to use the telephone. Officer [redacted] advised that both [redacted] and her brother disobeyed order and refused to cooperate with officers.
17. 

a. An incident occurred on consisting of 12 or more young people involved in a disturbance near 7th and Troup Streets, Kansas City, Kansas. The complaints were made by numerous young people involved, and among other things, the complaints alleged that Officer stepped on back, kicked in the ribs, used profanity including the term "nigger," hit on the head with his gun and requested that the children lay down in the street while the street was wet and it continued to rain. There is no indication of significant injury in this matter. The police officer is white, and remarks were made which could indicate a lack of sensitivity on the officer's part to the black children.

b. (PROTECT IDENTITY BY REQUEST) disposition was given in this matter.

c. complained that on he came to city hall to determine the amount of bond which had been set on . He said he was arrested and kicked five times by Officer . The incident was reviewed by IAD and the complaint was found to be unfounded.

d. Complainant filed a complaint on alleging he was arrested by Officer without
resistance, complained that Officer struck him in the face and tried to push his head into the paddy wagon doors. According to after arriving at the city hall underground parking lot in the paddy wagon, Officer got into the back of the paddy wagon and struck him several times while he was still handcuffed. Investigation by KCKPD IAD determined there was not sufficient evidence to prove any rules or regulations of the police department were violated by Officer.

e. filed a report alleging that excessive force was used by Officers and when she was arrested. No disposition was given in this matter.

f. complained to KCKPD that Officer answered a domestic disturbance call to their home. According to after Officer and another police officer took control of the situation, was arrested and struck by Officer. The report was made 4/3/90. The incident was investigated by the KCKPD IAD. No disposition was given.

g. On 5/25/90, the NAACP filed a complaint with the KCKPD, alleging abuse of 12 to 14 young black youths near Troup and Tremont in Kansas City, Kansas. The hour of the incident was 9:00 p.m. Officers named in the incident included. The KCKPD IAD conducted an investigation and conducted numerous interviews. The IAD’s conclusion was that the allegations were unfounded. According to the complaint, the children, age 7-16, were walking in the rain from their aunt’s house to their grandfather’s house in Kansas City, Kansas. The complainant said at approximately 8:30 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. the young people were stopped by six caucasian male police officers. The children were searched and made to lie down on the ground in the rain.
SUBJECT: KANSAS CITY, KANSAS POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD); CIVIL RIGHTS; OO: KANSAS CITY.

REFERENCE KANSAS CITY AIRTELS TO FBIHQ, CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT, DATED APRIL 26, 1993 AND JUNE 24, 1993.

PER TELCAL TO DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ) ATTORNEY ON DECEMBER 8, 1993, AND DOJ ATTORNEY WILL BE TRAVELING TO KANSAS CITY ON JANUARY 11, 1994, TO MEET WITH APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS AT THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (USAOD), DISTRICT OF KANSAS, IN KANSAS CITY, REGARDING THIS MATTER.

no action taken
KANSAS CITY IS REQUESTED TO COORDINATE WITH THE USAO, TO DETERMINE WHETHER YOUR ATTENDANCE AT THE JANUARY 11, 1994 MEETING IS APPROPRIATE. THE PURPOSE OF THE MEETING WITH THE USAO IS TO DETERMINE WHAT FURTHER INVESTIGATION WILL BE NECESSARY AND WHICH CASE OPENINGS WILL BE DIRECTED TO THE FBI, REGARDING THE KCKPD ALLEGATIONS.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
   Attn: Criminal Investigative Division
   Civil Rights Unit

FROM: SAC, KANSAS CITY (44A-KC-71570)(SQ4)(C) Date: 3/24/94

1. Title: (use additional page if necessary)
   KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
   POLICE DEPARTMENT (KCKPD),
   CIVIL RIGHTS (A);
   OO: KC

BUDED:

RE:

2. Office of Origin File No: 44A-KC-71570 (include alpha)

3. Auxiliary Office File No: __________ — __________ (initial submission only)

4. __________ initial submission X __________ Supplemental submission

5. Matter Type: (check more than one if applicable)
   A. Brutality No Brutality
      ☑ Law Enforcement ☑ Law Enforcement
      ☑ Non-Law Enforcement ☑ Non-Law Enforcement
   B. Violence
      ☑ Racial ☑ Religious ☑ Other
   C. ISS Matters
      ☐ Migrant Victim ☐ Other
   D. Known/Suspected Extremist Group
      ☐ Klan ☐ Other
      Use to describe above (check all applicable)
      ☐ Arson ☐ Injury ☐ Property damage
      ☐ Death ☐ No Injury ☐ Cross burning

6. Date of incident __________ 7. Date of complaint __________

8. Synopsis of case: This FD-610 is being submitted to FBIHQ to notify of
   closing of captioned case. This file, 44A-KC-71570, has been
   consolidated into a new Kansas City file 28A-KC-72842 entitled
   "TARNISHED STAR."

9. Significant case: ☐ Yes ☐ No (if yes, provide reason)

Remarks/Administrative
2 - Bureau
☐ - Kansas City
LEL: dag (3)
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